City Integrated Commissioning Board

Meeting in-common of the City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group and the City of London Corporation

Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

Meeting in-common of the City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group and the London Borough of Hackney

Joint Meeting in public of the two Integrated Commissioning Boards on Thursday 13 May 2021, 10.00 – 12.00 Microsoft Teams

Item no.	Item	Lead and	Documentation	Time	Page No.
1.	Welcome, introductions and apologies	Chair	type Verbal		-
2.	Declarations of Interests	Chair	Paper		3-7
3.	Questions from the Public	<i>For noting</i> Chair	None	10.00	-
4.	Minutes of the Previous Meeting & Action Log	Chair For approval	Paper		8-14
5.	Anchor Alliance Update	Jonathan McShane For nothing	Presentation	10.05	15-25
6.	Update on Terms of Reference for ICPB and NHCB	Jonathan McShane	Presentation	10.25	26-58
7.	Monthly Finance Report	Sunil Thakker / Ian Williams <i>For noting</i>	Paper (to follow)	11.30	-
8.	Workstream Risk Registers	Matthew Knell	Paper	11.45	59-93

Click here to join the meeting





-	Integrated	For	Paper	-	94-99
	Commissioning Glossary	information			

Date of next meeting:

10 June 2021 – Microsoft Teams







Integrated Commissioning 2021 Register of Interests

Forename	Surname	Surname Date of Declaration Position / Role in C&H System Business / Organisation of the Interest Nature of Interest / Position		Nature of Interest / Position	Type of interest	
imon	Cribbens	12/08/2019	City ICB advisor/ regular attendee	City of London Corporation	Assistant Director - Commissioning & Partnerships, Community & Children's Services	Pecuniary Interest
			Accountable Officers Group member	City of London Corporation	Attendee at meetings	Pecuniary Interest
				Providence Row	Trustee	Non-Pecuniary Interest
unil	Thakker	23/04/2021	City and Hackney ICB advisor/ regular attendee	NE London CCG / City & Hackney Integrated Care	Chief Financial Officer	Non-Pecuniary Interest
	Thanker	20,01,2021		Partnership		
an	Williams	20/03/2020	Hackney ICB advisor/ regular attendee	London Borough of Hackney	Group Director, Finance and Corporate Resources	Pecuniary Interest
				n/a	Homeowner in Hackney	Pecuniary Interest
				Hackney Schools for the Future Ltd	Director	Pecuniary Interest
				NWLA Partnership Board	Joint Chair	Pecuniary Interest
				London Treasury Ltd	SLT Rep	
				London CIV Board	Observer / SLT Rep	
				Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Society of London Treasurers	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				London Finance Advisory Committee	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Schools and Academy Funding Group	London Representative	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Society of Municipal Treasurers	SMT Executive	Non-recuiliary interest
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				London CIV Shareholders Committee London Pensions Investments Advisory Committee	SLT Rep Chair	Non-Pecuniary Interest
uby	Sayed	19/11/2020	City ICB member	City of London Corporate	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Gaia Re Ltd	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Thincats (Poland) Ltd	Director	Pecuniary Interest
				Bar of England and Wales	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Transition Finance (Lavenham) Ltd	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Nirvana Capital Ltd	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Honourable Society of the Inner Temple	Governing Bencher	Non-pecuniary interest
				Independent / Temple & Farringdon Together	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
					Member	
				Worshipful Company of Haberdashers		Non-pecuniary interest
				Guild of Entrepreneurs	Founder Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Bury St. Edmund's Woman's Aid	Trustee	Non-pecuniary interest
				Housing the Homeless Central Fund	Trustee	Non-Pecuniary Interest
		00 /00 /0000		Asian Women's Resource Centre	Trustee & Chairperson / Director	Non-pecuniary interest
/lark	Jarvis	02/03/2020	City ICB advisor / regular attendee	City of London Corporation	Head of Finance	Pecuniary Interest
nne	Canning	21/07/2020	Hackney ICB advisor / regular attendee Accountable Officers Group member	London Borough of Hackney	Group Director - Children, Adults & Community Health	Pecuniary Interest
lonor	Rhodes	11/06/2020	Member - City / Hackney Integrated Commissioning Boards	City & Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group	Lay Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Tavistock Relationships (manages the City Wellbeing Centre)	Director	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				HUHFT	Daughter is employed as Assistant Psychologist	Indirect interest
				n/a	Registered with Barton House NHS Practice, N16	Non-Pecuniary Interest
ary	Marlowe	27/08/2020	ICB advisor / regular attendee	NE London CCG / City & Hackney Integrated Care Partnership Governing Body	GP Member	Pecuniary Interest
				De Beauvoir Surgery	GP Partner	Pecuniary Interest
				NE London CCG / City & Hackney Integrated Care	Planned Care Lead	Pecuniary Interest
				Hackney GP Confederation	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				British Medical Association	London Regional Chair	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				n/a	Homeowner - Casimir Road, E5	Non-Pecuniary Interest
	1	1		City of London Health & Wellbeing Board	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interest

Member Non-Pecuniary Interest Member Non-Pecuniary Interest Member Non-Pecuniary Interest Member Non-Pecuniary Interest Integrated Care Director of Transition
Member Non-Pecuniary Interest
ntograted Care Director of Transition Defectional financial interact

Forename	Surname	Date of Declaration	Position / Role in C&H System	Business / Organisation of the Interest	Nature of Interest / Position	Type of interest
Anntoinette	Bramble	12/08/2020	Member - Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board	Hackney Council	Deputy Mayor	Pecuniary Interest
				Local Government Association	Board - Deputy Chair	Pecuniary Interest
					Company Director	
					Labour Group - Deputy Chair	
				JNC for Teachers in Residential Establishments	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				JNC for Youth & Community Workers	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				inclor four a community workers		Non-recuriary intere
				Schools Forum	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				SACRE	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Admission Forum	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Hackney Schools for the Future (Ltd)	Director	Pecuniary Interest
				St Johns at Hackney	PCC	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Unison	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interes
				GMB Union	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				St Johns at Hackney	Church Warden & License Holder	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Co-Operative Party	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Labour Party	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Urstwick School	Governor	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				City Academy	Governor	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				National Contextual Safeguarding Panel	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				National Windrush Advisory Panel	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
					Board Member	
				Hackney Play Bus (Charity) Christians on the Left	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere Non-Pecuniary Intere
Manianna	Fuederiele	20/02/2020	Mambar City Integrated Commissioning Decad	Lower Clapton Group Practice	Registered Patient	Non-pecuniary intere
Marianne	Fredericks	26/02/2020	Member - City Integrated Commissioning Board	City of London	Member	Pecuniary Interest
				Farringdon Ward Club	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				The Worshipful Company of Firefighters	Liveryman	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Christ's Hospital School Council	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Aldgate and All Hallows Foundation Charity	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				The Worshipful Company of Bakers	Liveryman	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Tower Ward Club	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
Christopher	Kennedy	09/07/2020	Member - Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board	Hackney Council	Cabinet Member for Health, Adult Social Care and Leisure	Pecuniary Interest
				Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Hackney Empire	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Hackney Parochial Charity	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Labour party	Member	Non-Pecuniary Intere
				Local GP practice	Registered patient	Non-Pecuniary Interes

Forename	Surname	Date of Declaration	Position / Role in C&H System	Business / Organisation of the Interest	Nature of Interest / Position	Type of interest
Randall	Anderson	15/07/2019	Member - City Integrated Commissioning Board	City of London Corporation	Chair, Community and Children's Services Committee	Pecuniary Interest
				n/a	Self-employed Lawyer	Pecuniary Interest
				n/a	Renter of a flat from the City of London (Breton House, London)	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Member	American Bar Association	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Masonic Lodge 1745	Member	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Worshipful Company of Information Technologists	Freeman	Non-Pecuniary Interest
				Neaman Practice	Registered Patient	Non-Pecuniary Interest
Andrew	Carter	12/08/2019	City ICB advisor / regular attendee	City of London Corporation	Director of Community & Children's Services	Pecuniary Interest
				Petchey Academy & Hackney / Tower Hamlets College	Governing Body Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				n/a	Spouse works for FCA (fostering agency)	Indirect interest
Robert	Chapman	14/04/2021	Member - City & Hackney ICB	Sun Babies, 1 Branch Place, London	Trustee	Non-pecuniary interest
lobert	Chapman	14/04/2021	Weinber eity & Hackney leb	N15PH	Trustee	Non-pecuniary interest
				Shareholders Committee	Shareholder Representative	Non-pecuniary interest
				Hackney Co-Operative Party	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				SERA	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				TSSA	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Unite	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				GMB Union	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Friends of Hackney Tower & Churchyard	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Labour Housing Group	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				The Labour Housing Group	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Socialist Health Association	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				The Co-Operative Society	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Labour Party	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Investment Governance & Engagement Committee,	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
				Local Government Pensions Scheme Advisory Board		
				Local Authority Pension Fund Forum	Vice Chair	Non-pecuniary interest
				Local Authority Pension Fund Forum North London Waste Authority	Vice Chair Member	Non-pecuniary interest Non-pecuniary interest
Henry	Black	03/03/2020	NE London CCG - CFO			
Henry	Black	03/03/2020	NE London CCG - CFO	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals	Member	Non-pecuniary interest
Henry	Black	03/03/2020	NE London CCG - CFO	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest
	Black Rickets	03/03/2020 04/02/2021	NE London CCG - CFO Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead)	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead)	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest
			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest
Henry Mark Jake			Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest
Mark	Rickets	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead)	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice)	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest
Mark	Rickets	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice) Hackney Council for Voluntary Service Voluntary Sector Transformation Leadership Group	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest
Mark	Rickets	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice) Hackney Council for Voluntary Service Voluntary Sector Transformation Leadership Group which represents the sector across the	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest
Mark	Rickets Ferguson	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Chief Executive Officer Member	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice) Hackney Council for Voluntary Service Voluntary Sector Transformation Leadership Group which represents the sector across the Transformation / ICS structures.	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial personal interest
Mark ake	Rickets Ferguson	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Chief Executive Officer Member	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice) Hackney Council for Voluntary Service Voluntary Sector Transformation Leadership Group which represents the sector across the Transformation / ICS structures. Member, Labour Party	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial personal interest Non-financial personal interest
Mark	Rickets Ferguson	04/02/2021	Member - City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) CCG Chair Primary Care Quality Programme Board Chair (GP Lead) Chief Executive Officer Member	North London Waste Authority Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust Tower Hamlets GP Care NHS Clinical Commissioners Board City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Health Systems Innovation Lab, School Health and Social Care, London South Bank University GP Confederation HENCEL Nightingale Practice (CCG Member Practice) Hackney Council for Voluntary Service Voluntary Sector Transformation Leadership Group which represents the sector across the Transformation / ICS structures. Member, Labour Party Member, Unite Trade Union Chair, Governors Prior Weston Primary School and	Member Wife is Assistant Director of Finance Daughter works as social prescriber Member Chair Non-Executive Director Wife is a Visiting Fellow Nightingale Practice is a Member I work as a GP appraiser in City and Hackney and Tower Hamlets for HENCEL Salaried GP Organisation holds various grants from the CCG and Council.	Non-pecuniary interest Indirect interest Indirect interest Non-financial professional Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial professional interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Professional financial interest Non-financial personal interest Non-financial personal interest

Forename	Surname	Date of Declaration	Position / Role in C&H System	Business / Organisation of the Interest	Nature of Interest / Position	Type of i
Tracey	Fletcher	26/08/2020	Chief Executive - Homerton University Hospital	Inspire, Hackney	Trustee	Professio
Sandra	Husbands	26/08/2020	Director of Public Health	Association of Directors of Public Health Faculty of Public Health Faculty of Medical Leadership and Management	Member Fellow Member	Professio Non-Pec Non-Pec
Jon	Williams	02/03/2020	Attendee - Hackney Integrated Commisioning Board	Healthwatch Hackney	Director - CHCCG Neighbourhood Involvement Contract - CHCCG NHS Community Voice Contract - CHCCG Involvement Alliance Contract - CHCCG Coproduction and Engagement Grant - Hackney Council Core and Signposting Grant	Professio

of interest

essional financial interest essional financial interest

- Pecuinary Interest
- Pecuniary Interest

ssional financial interest

Meeting-in-common of the Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

(Comprising the North East London CCG Integrated Commissioning Committee and the London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Committee)

and

Meeting-in-common of the City Integrated Commissioning Board (Comprising the North East London CCG Integrated Commissioning Committee and the City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Committee)

Minutes of meeting held in public on 8 April 2021 Microsoft Teams

Present:

Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

Hackney Integrated Commissioning Committee

Cllr Christopher Kennedy	Cabinet Member for Health, Adult Social Care and Leisure (ICB Chair)	London Borough of Hackney
Cllr Robert Chapman	Cabinet Member for Finance	London Borough of Hackney
Cllr Anntoinette Bramble	Cabinet Member for Education, Young People and Childrens' Social Care	London Borough of Hackney
North East London C	CG Integrated Commissioning Com	<u>mittee</u>

Dr. Mark Rickets	Chair	North East London CCG
Siobhan Harper	Transition Director	North East London CCG
Honor Rhodes	Governing Body Lay member	North East London CCG

City Integrated Commissioning Board

City Integrated Commissioning Committee							
Randall Anderson	Chairman, Community and	City of London Corporation					
QC	Children's Services Committee						
Ruby Sayed	Member, Community & Children's	City of London Corporation					
	Services Committee						
Marianne	Member, Community and	City of London Corporation					
Fredericks	Children's Services Committee						







In attendance

Anne Canning	Group Director: Children's, Adults and Community Health	London Borough of Hackney
Andrew Carter	Director of Community and Childrens' Services	City of London Corporation
Caroline Millar	Chair	City & Hackney GP Confederation
Diana Divajeva	Principal Public Health Analyst	London Borough of Hackney
Haren Patel	Clinical Director	Primary Care Network
Helen Fentimen	Member, Community & Children's Services Committee	City of London Corporation
Jake Ferguson	Chief Executive Officer	Hackney Council for Voluntary Services
Jonathan McShane	Integrated Care Convenor	North East London CCG
Jon Williams	Executive Director	Healthwatch Hackney
Matthew Knell	Head of Governance & Assurance	North East London CCG
Kiran Rao	Project Officer: Public Health	London Borough of Hackney
Paul Coles	General Manager	Healthwatch City of London
Philip Glanville	Mayor	London Borough of Hackney
Sandra Husbands	Director of Public Health	London Borough of Hackney
Simon Cribbens	Deputy Director of Community and Childrens' Services	City of London Corporation
Stella Okonkwo	IC Programme Manager	North East London CCG
Sunil Thakker	CFO	North East London CCG
Tracey Fletcher	Chief Executive	Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Tim Shields	Chief Executive	London Borough of Hackney

1. Welcome, Introductions and Apologies for Absence

- 1.1. The Chair, Dr Mark Rickets, opened the meeting.
- 1.2. Apologies were noted as listed above.
- 2. Declarations of Interests
- 2.1. The City Integrated Commissioning Board
 NOTED the Register of Interests.
- 2.2. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board







• **NOTED** the Register of Interests.

3. Questions from the Public

- 3.1. A member of the public asked the following question:
- 3.2. "City of London unpaid carers were, historically, able to use a few services by the contracted carers support organisation in Hackney. I was told at the time the CCG budget for some services were shared. Since "Carers First" took over the Hackney contract, City of London unpaid carers are not permitted to use any carers first service.
- 3.3. Is there a reason for this change? During this pandemic some carers first activities are being provided via zoom and phone which, as historically was, may be available to City unpaid carers as well. How can we find out what shared CCG services are available to us unpaid carers?"
- 3.4. Steve Stevenson stated that this arose because there was, as far as he was aware no out-of-hours advice was available to City of London carers. Randall Anderson added that this raised a broader issue if the CCG funded a service it should be available to all residents. Siobhan Harper presumed that this would be a communication glitch and there should be no exclusion of City residents.
- 3.5. The response, provided after the meeting, was as follows:

4. Minutes of the Previous Meeting & Action Log

4.1. The City Integrated Commissioning Board

- **APPROVED** the minutes of the previous meeting.
- **NOTED** the action log.

4.2. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

- **APPROVED** the minutes of the previous meeting.
- **NOTED** the action log.

5. ICPB Terms of Reference Update

- 5.1. Jonathan McShane introduced the item. The area committee terms of reference would be approved by the May North East London governing body. The June meeting would then be the first formal meeting of the Integrated Care Partnership Board (ICPB).
- 5.2. Haren Patel asked if we could have advance sight of the terms of reference before approval at the June meeting. Jonathan McShane responded that this would be possible. As soon as things were able to be shared, they would be circulated to get additional feedback.
- 5.3. Sunil Thakker added that he would like to be sighted on all of the ICP terms of reference in advance of the June meeting.
- 5.4. Cllr Kennedy asked if we were still operating under the extant ICB terms of reference. He also added that ICS development would be dependent on what was brought before







Parliament. Jonathan McShane responded that the current period was an interim period. He was not sure if we were operating under the previous arrangements or if there was an interim agreement in place. Sunil Thakker stated that we had statutorily transferred all contractual arrangements into the new CCG, the new S75 had been rolled forward, other arrangements had been put into place to ensure that we were still able to operate.

- 5.5. Siobhan Harper added that there were other statutory duties held at NE London level whilst transitional arrangements come into place at a place-based level.
- 5.6. Jonathan McShane also suggested that lawyers be asked to attend the May ICB meeting in order to answer any detailed questions that people may have and to run through scenarios.

5.7. The City Integrated Commissioning Board

- NOTED the report.
- 5.8. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board
 NOTED the report.

6. ICP Transition Update

- 6.1. Tracey Fletcher introduced the item. She noted that the work was occurring in two overlapping segments the first was to ensure the transition to NE London CCG was smooth and that furthermore we don't lose sight of our place-based focus. We were looking to recruit for crucial posts such as the system clinical lead.
- 6.2. Siobhan Harper added that we were looking to stand up local governance fora. One of the challenges we were looking at was to ensure that all working within the system understood their responsibilities at a NE London level and a place-based level.
- 6.3. Haren Patel asked what would happen in relation to funding streams for services such as Prescribing Support Pharmacies during the transition. Siobhan Harper responded that the delegation of resources back to the local area committee was operating on an 80-20 principle (i.e. by default, 80% of resource would be delegated back to local areas, if not more). We needed to raise the level of investment in certain areas whilst not reducing it in areas that already had it.
 - Sunil Thakker added that there was work in progress to understand all the budgets which were delegated down to the local ICS, but that he would also meet with Haren Patel to discuss this further.
- 6.4. Jake Ferguson noted that there had been sign-off of the VCSE Enabler work several months ago which had been aimed to help the VCS develop strategic responses to the challenges faced. He added that he was not clear how business cases would come into the system and then be addressed by the ICB. We also needed to ensure that we had representation across the system to get greater insight into discussions that were happening. Tracey Fletcher stated that the issue of strategic responses was still an open question and subject to ongoing discussion.







- 6.5. Siobhan Harper added that once we began to consider our programs of work, rehabilitation needed to be our focus. This was not just about the structures we were supporting but where delivery was happening as well. Sunil Thakker added that whilst were now NE London CCG, we would still be constrained by our budgetary operating model.
- 6.6. Jon Williams asked why co-production was not contained in the document and stated that it should be considered a key element of our work going forward. Siobhan Harper added that the co-production work would be reflected in the people and place work.
- 6.7. Cllr Kennedy stated that we should have a document that talks about a permanent resourcing settlement. Cllr Chapman also asked if there was a detailed budget for this work. Sunil Thakker stated that the NE London CCG and three ICPs were beginning to consider what budgets may look like and there was a framework in which we were operating. Regular updates would be provided to ICB and a detailed report would be brought back to ICB. Siobhan Harper added that the budgetary allocation would not look much different from the previous CCG budget allocation.

6.8. The City Integrated Commissioning Board

• NOTED the report.

6.9. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

• NOTED the report.

7. M11 Financial Report

- 7.1. Sunil Thakker introduced the item. The financial situation for 2020/21 would be in a break-even position in terms of its overall spend for the year. All seven ICPs in NE London were aiming to declare a break-even position.
- 7.2. Ian Williams noted that the current position from the LBH was a modest overspend of roughly £2m, and a future meeting would consider an update on the 2021/22 budget.
- 7.3. We had mitigated some of our underspend through a variety of mechanisms. We also kept a clear track of our underspends to make sure that things were re-invested.

Sunil Thakker stated that he would send through the underspend analysis to Helen Fentimen.

7.4. The City Integrated Commissioning Board NOTED the report.

7.5. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board

• **NOTED** the report.

8. Integrated Commissioning Register of Escalated Risks

8.1. Matthew Knell introduced the item. Honor Rhodes stated that she was particularly concerned about CYPMF19 and the ICB should monitor the risk closely.







- 8.2. Cllr Kennedy added that we needed to identify the neighbourhood-level resource in relation to UC20. Siobhan Harper added that this tied in with the Prevention Investment Standard. This would be central to our planning model for place-based working.
 - Jenny Darkwah noted that there had been work done about health inequalities within the PCNs and this could be brought back to ICB in due course.
- 8.3. The City Integrated Commissioning Board
 - NOTED the report.
- 8.4. The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board
 - NOTED the report.

AOB & Reflections

- Sunil Thakker added that the Prevention Investment Standard should be re-visited by the ICB to reflect on the early progress that had been made.
- Mark Rickets stated that he felt a sense of momentum and of things moving on which was extremely encouraging given the current environment.
- Cllr Chapman that it was very positive to attend meetings where there are such dedicated people working to improve the health of people in NE London.
- Honor Rhodes added that we were getting much better at talking about shared responsibility.
- Tracey Fletcher highlighted the need to adopt a system approach and consider which partners were not involved in these discussions.
- Sandra Husbands added that we need to reflect about how we approach inclusion in these meetings. She also added that even though there had been agreement in the ICB meetings but we needed to make sure that we were highlighting areas in which there was not necessarily agreement.







City and Hackney Integrated Commissioning Programme Action Tracker

Ref No	Action	Assigned to	Assigned date	Due date	Status	Update
ICBFeb-2	Ian Williams to bring back a report on the 2021/22 budget to a future ICB	lan Williams	11/02/2021	Jun-21	In Progress	On forward planner for June ICB.
ICBMar-1	Jayne Taylor asked the board to consider the tools that the ICB would need to enable it to make equality impact assessments. This item would be brought back to the ICB in the next few months.	Jayne Taylor	11/03/2021	Jun-21	In Progress	
ICBApr-1	Sunil Thakker added that there was work in progress to understand all the budgets which were delegated down to the local ICS, but that he would also meet with Haren Patel to discuss this further.	Sunil Thakker	08/04/2021	May-21	In Progress	
ICBApr-2	Sunil Thakker stated that he would send through the underspend analysis to Helen Fentimen.	Sunil Thakker	08/04/2021	May-21	In Progress	
ICBApr-3	Jenny Darkwah noted that there had been work done about health inequalities within the PCNs and this could be brought back to ICB in due course.	Jenny Darkwah	08/04/2021	Jun-21	In Progress	

Title of report:	City and Hackney Anchor Collaborative – ICB Update		
Date of meeting:	13 th May 2021		
Lead Officer:	Jonathan McShane		
Author:	John Hitchin, Renaisi		
Committee(s):	City & Hackney ICB 13 May		
Public / Non-public	Public		

Executive Summary:

This is a presentation for information, and not a formal report.

This presentation deck gives an update about the work of the City and Hackney Anchor Collaborative, and its progress in working with colleagues across the local system on two streams of work: shared apprenticeships and procurement practices. It highlights the key successes of that work, and underlines the ambitions of the work is to build collaboartive practices. The streams of work are of value in their own right, and as models for furthering joint working in other areas.

Whilst the last year has slowed down progress compared to our ambitions, there remain positive developments and also much greater knowledge about what conditions support collaboartive practice. To continue to move this on, we conclude with an request for senior endorsement, which will be sought outside of this meeting.

Recommendations:

The City Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:

• To **NOTE** the report.

The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:

• To **NOTE** the report.

Strategic Objectives this paper supports:

Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention to improve the long term health and wellbeing of local people and address health inequalities	The purpose of the collaboartive is to encourage new ways of thinking and working across 'back-office' functions which can both shift resource, and point that functions at health and wellbeing prioritise of City and Hackney residents.
Deliver proactive community based care closer to home and outside of institutional settings where appropriate	
Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system and achieve our financial plans	







Deliver integrated care which meets the physical, mental health and social needs of our diverse communities	
Empower patients and residents	

Specific implications for City

None

Specific implications for Hackney

None

Patient and Public Involvement and Impact:

The work is not likely to impact on public and patient perceptions of service providers, and is explicitly starting from the institutional perspective. Over time the outputs of the collaborative will likely involved

Clinical/practitioner input and engagement:

None – we are working with procurement and workforce/HR colleagues.

Communications and engagement:

No, as it is about the working practices of officers at this stage, many in roles which are explicitly not community/engagement facing.

Comms Sign-off

N/A

Equalities implications and impact on priority groups:

The projects being developed are explicitly considering their potential for impact on priority groups, and this is a core theme for the work.

Safeguarding implications:

None

Impact on / Overlap with Existing Services:

There is no immediate impact on existing service provision. However, the intention of this work is that it explicitly encourages colleagues across the system to think about overlaps in their roles in relation to other organisations. As this develops we will be using the learning from this work to encourage a greater degree of shared working and practice.









City and Hackney Anchor Collaborative ICB Update

May 2020



What is it?

- The central idea of the Anchor Collaborative is that City and Hackney's biggest institutions can collaborate to use their resources to tackle inequalities and build an inclusive local economy.
- Building from international and national practice, we want to support individual anchors to work on practical projects with others so that their institutional functions (procurement, assets, workforce, investments etc) can be leveraged for health and economic impacts.
- We believe that working across a place-based system allows for learning, and projects that have greater impact than if organisations did this work on their own.
- Renaisi initiated this work, and it explicitly builds on our social purpose as a Hackney-based social enterprise. We see our role as facilitator, coordination and system support.



Definitions

An **anchor organisation** is typically – though not exclusively – not for profit organisations that are based in a city or town and are unlikely to move location, usually because their purpose and mission is intrinsically bound up in that area. They are also often one of the major players in the local economy, and can use this economic power to create wealth and improve opportunities for the people in that place.

An **anchor collaborative** is a formal partnership of anchor organisations that share a common geography, and have clearly defined, collective, objectives that guide their work. They are often supported by a trusted independent organisation that helps to facilitate the work, and this role is typically funded by a philanthropic partner.

Community wealth building is an approach to local economic development which prioritises benefits to the local economy and community. Anchor organisations have an important role within community wealth building as the most stable and significant local economic actors. In City and Hackney **the anchors that have been directly engaged** are: Hackney Council; the CCG; ELFT; the GP Confederation; City of London Corporation; and Homerton Hospital.

There have also been conversations with a **wider range of local and London wide stakeholders** about the work, including Peabody, Barts NHS Trust, local projects in Hackney (e.g. the Improving Outcomes for Young Black men initiative, the Sport England pilot); public health teams, Citybridge Trust, the Museum of London, the North East London Commissioning Support Unit and many others.



Our ambition: Four roles for the collaborative

Facilitate relationships

The key role that we have been working on so far is the building of bilateral relationships, and then facilitating multi-lateral relationships on thematic issues. We strongly believe that collaboration and cooperation happens in practice not theory.

Use data and targeting

We are looking at ways to build common approaches to thinking about certain data points in the work, as this is essential to drive activity. Each organisation will have its own strengths, but there is a role to think collaborative-wide on resources, spend, pipeline of roles, recruitment, investment etc.

Push innovations and new ideas We have not done any work on this role yet, but it is a key part of collaboratives in other places and is of interest to partners. Physical regeneration or new developments can often be the hook.

Independence and accountability Each anchor in City and Hackney is already considering their role as an anchor. A collaborative is about a different kind of leadership, and we believe that an independent actor can have a significant value in terms of driving accountability and seeking resource.



Initial learning by the start of COVID

Our conversations and research have highlighted that there are different ways to lead this work, and leadership is key:

Led by place: the starting point here is to ask what the unique conditions of the place are – whether that is borough level or a more specific area within the borough

Led by strategy: this approach begins with different core functions (e.g. procurement, HR, finance) and has been out starting point – see right.

Led by cohort: this approach explores what all core functions of an anchor organisation could do to have a measurable impact on a particular group of people, for example, young people or low-income residents.

Led by opportunity: this approach starts by exploring what opportunities there are to apply community wealth building strategies. This might be the development of a new hospital, for example, or an upcoming procurement which has the potential to be used as a test bed for new thinking.

Led by challenge: this approach starts by exploring what the common 'pain points' are across the anchor organisations and developing collective approaches to tackling these. A common challenge that has been identified is the high cost of temporary / agency workers. In terms of practical work, we have pulled together two thematic groups:

Procurement: procurement leads from all the anchor organisations are now engaged and we have had briefing calls with each one. We have asked each lead to share some data in advance of a themed workshop on the value of their current expenditure within the City and Hackney postcodes and share any existing social value or sustainable procurement policies. Once the Covid 19 pressures have eased, convening this group and running a development workshop that builds on this material and looks for opportunities across the anchors to collaborate will be a priority.

Workforce: workforce leads across the anchor organisations have also been identified, and we will run a similar development workshop exploring what opportunities workforce, as an anchor strategy, might present. We will work with the leads from each anchor to bring together data on their current workforce as a starting point.

We have captured learning through a series of blogs and an interim report, report, published on Renaisi's website.



Where we are now – May 2021

The team so far have agreed the following elements regarding a potential shared role **apprenticeship** scheme.

- The creation of a multi-organisation apprenticeship role.
- A management role might be most suitable for anchor organisations and the three best options were a Level 6 PMO, Level 5 Ops manager or Level 6 Chartered manager
- The apprenticeship is likely to target people with some existing experience as an upskilling opportunity
- The aim is to have a common start date / month for the cohort. There are no set timescales.
- Funding is still to be agreed but one option discussed was that each anchor would have the same number of people / placements, so they would each fund their own staff, and release them (but benefit from getting a member of staff on rotation from another anchor).
- It was agreed that a shared approach to recruitment would be the most suitable, after some attendees expressed that 'pool recruitment' had not worked well for them in the past. Hiring managers and a mixture of leads from the organisations would need to be involved, but exactly *how* needs to be identified to avoid having overly large interview panels.

In terms of procurement, we have explored two routes:

- 1. Joint approaches to one type of procurement. Opportunities to collaborate around catering were explored over a number of workshops. The potential practical route on the concessions stand (Homerton) and commercial offer (Hackney Council, Children's services) have paused for now while we await confirmation on contract details and in-sourcing decisions.
- 2. Social value in procurement. The group covered how to include measures relating to local spend, environmental protections and diversity measures into procurement frameworks. This has resulted in a number of routes to further collaboartive practice.

This highlights that the opportunities are always about learning, and steady improvement, as well as bigger opportunities to think about a significant opportunity.



Next steps

Tangible progress

- In September 2019 we were given endorsement to explore these ideas with the anchors.
- We have focussed on two areas and seen, despite considerable delays, these areas of work developed and they are building tangible projects.
- Some of the most significant changes have come in relationships being built across organisations within the system.

Governance challenges

- There are constraints around time, and endorsement. We think senior leaders could unlock this through a stronger endorsement to collaborate, and not just explore.
- We think this would really benefit from each anchor nominating a senior director to lead the work, and for us to work with them if we are struggling to gain traction.
- This would also give us a stronger accountability mechanism for colleagues to bring their work and ideas to.



About Renaisi – place is the thread

Renaisi is a Hackney social enterprise, committed to improving places for the people who live in and use them. We do that by trying to understand what drives social change, what role place has in social change, and we work with different stakeholders to achieve that. We work with:

- individuals experiencing economic exclusion
- social organisations trying to improve their impact
- **funders** looking to learn about the value and role of their investments
- **place-based systems** that want to work differently through leadership and coordination

Each of these stakeholders is an integral part of improving places and a target for our products and work as a social enterprise.

We aim to influence the policy and practice debate by delivering quality work, highlighting practical examples, and demonstrating our learning on the role of place-based approaches.





Contact John Hitchin 07739022771 j.hitchin@renaisi.com



Title of report:	Update on Terms of Reference for ICPB and NHCB				
Date of meeting:	13 th May 2021				
Lead Officer:	Jonathan McShane				
Author:	Jonathan McShane				
Committee(s):	n/a				
Public / Non-public	Public				

Executive Summary:

Browne Jacobson have been instructed as solicitors to assist with setting up new governance arrangements for North East London as a whole and for each of the three local systems. They will attend the meeting on 13 May to discuss the drat Terms of Reference and answer any questions from ICB members.

ICPB

We are awaiting further feedback from lawyers at the City of London and London Borough of Hackney on the latest draft of the ICPB Terms of Reference. The latest draft is attached to inform the discussion.

NHCB

Partners will meet shortly to review draft Terms of Reference for the NHCB and once agreed these will be shared with ICPB so the Terms of Reference for both ICPB and NHCB can be reviewed together.

Next Steps

We still hope to be in a position to review and approve the Terms of Reference for ICPB and NHCB at our June meeting which would be the first formal meeting of ICPB.

Recommendations:

- e.g. The City Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:
 - To **NOTE** the report;

The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:

• To **NOTE** the report;







DRAFT UNDER DISCUSSION

City and Hackney Integrated Care Partnership

Terms of Reference

These terms of reference incorporate terms of reference for the following:

- Part 1: The Integrated Care Partnership Board
- Part 2:
 - The Integrated Commissioning Board [Draft TBD]
 - North East London Clinical Commissioning Group Governing Body City and Hackney ICP Area Committee
 - London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee [Awaited]
 - City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee [Awaited]
 - Terms of reference for the CCG ICP Finance & Performance Sub-Committee [To be incorporated once finalised]
 - Terms of reference for the CCG ICP Quality Sub-Committee. [Awaited]

1	Introduction	1.1	The Health and Care Partner Organisations represented below are Members of the City and Hackney Integrated Care Partnership ("ICP"). Representatives of the Members have come together as the City and Hackney Integrated Care Partnership Board ("ICPB") to enable the delivery of integrated population health and care services in the City and Hackney area, as set out in more detail below.
		1.2	The ICPB will be responsible for making decisions on strategic policy matters relevant to the ICP. Where applicable, the ICPB will also make recommendations on matters that it has been asked to consider on behalf of a constituent Member of the ICP. Note that where the ICPB has been asked to consider matters on behalf of a constituent Member of the ICP, the Member remains responsible for the exercise of its statutory functions and nothing that the ICPB does shall restrict or undermine that responsibility.
		1.3	As far as possible, Members will exercise their relevant statutory functions within the ICP governance structure, including within the ICPB. This will be enabled through delegations to specific individuals or through specific committees or other structures established by Members meeting as part of, or in parallel with, the ICPB. Part 1 of these terms of reference apply to collective

	strategic decisions taken at the ICPB and also describes how aligned decision-making by one or more statutory partner can take place within the ICPB structure, using the statutory structures whose terms of reference are set out in detail in Part 2.
1.4	However, where a Reserved statutory decision needs to be taken by one or more statutory organisation(s) alone, only the arrangements set-out in Part 2 of these terms of reference will apply.
1.5	The ICPB arrangements build on the Integrated Commissioning Board ("the ICB") arrangements that were in place in City and Hackney prior to the formation of the new single NEL CCG on 1 April 2021. The three statutory commissioning committees/sub- committees established by the CCG and the local authorities may, where appropriate, continue to meet in-common as the ICB in addition to operating as part of the ICPB, in order to exercise their commissioning functions.
1.6	To facilitate these arrangements for taking Reserved statutory decisions, the following statutory committees have been formed:
	1.6.1 City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee, formed as a sub-committee of its Community and Children's Services Committee;
	1.6.2 London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee, reporting to its Cabinet;
	1.6.3 NHS North East London ("NEL") CCG Governing Body City and Hackney ICP Area Committee, formed as a Committee of the Governing Body.
1.7	Each of the above committees/sub-committees has the authority to make decisions on behalf of its respective establishing organisation, in accordance with Part 2 of these terms of reference.
1.8	It is expected that in many cases such decisions of the Integrated Care Board, its three constituent committees, or any other Reserved statutory decisions taken by individuals on behalf of their statutory organisations, will be able to be taken at meetings of the ICPB, as a result of either individual Members' representatives exercising delegated authority or through one or more statutory committees convening a quorate meeting and making the decision as a committee. Other Members of the ICPB will be present, and 'in attendance', at such times subject to the management of any conflicts of interest.
1.9	Where a Reserved statutory decision needs to be taken on a commissioner-only basis or where the commissioners consider it appropriate to hold focussed sessions on commissioning matters, the committees referred to above at 1.6 shall meet on a committees-in-common basis as the ICB. Further information

			about the ICB is set out in Part 2 of these terms of reference, which contains terms of reference for the ICB.					
		1.10	Whether decisions are taken under Part 1 and Part 2, or just Part 2 of these terms of reference, the aim will be to ensure that decisions reflect applicable national and local priority objectives and strategies.					
		1.11	The ICPB is established and constituted in accordance with the Codes of Conduct: code of accountability in the NHS (July 2004) and the UK Corporate Governance Code (June 2010).					
Ра	rt 1: Terms of Re	ference	e for the ICPB					
2	Status	2.1	The ICPB is a non-statutory partnership body, that brings together representatives from across the ICP area with the necessary authority from their Member organisations to make collective decisions on strategic policy matters relating to the ICP. Where applicable, the ICPB will also make recommendations on matters that the Member organisations have asked it to consider on their behalf.					
		2.2	The ICPB incorporates Member-specific structures that also enable Reserved statutory decisions to be taken by individual Members within the ICPB structure, to the extent permitted by law. These are set-out in Part 2.					
		2.3	The ICPB is founded on the basis of a strong partnership with representation from across the City and Hackney health and care system, including from the CCG, local provider trusts, local authorities, primary care providers and voluntary sector partners.					
		2.4	The ICPB will be supported by the Neighbourhood Health and Care Board ("NH&CB"), which will lead on the delivery of the ICP strategy and vision agreed by the ICPB, consistent with the Mandate agreed between the ICPB and the NH&CB. The NH&CB is a non-statutory board.					
		2.5	Both the ICPB and the NH&CB may be supported by sub- groups.					
		2.6	The ICPB will work in close partnership with the Health and Wellbeing Boards ("HWBs") in City and Hackney and shall ensure that strategies agreed by the ICPB are appropriately aligned with the health and care components of the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy produced by the HWBs.					
		2.7	The ICPB will formally commence its operation on 1 April 2021.					
3	Principles	3.1	The Members of the ICPB agree to abide by the following principles:					
			3.1.1 Encourage cooperative behaviour between ourselves and engender a culture of "Best for Service" including no					

			foult up block and up disputes where prestically
			fault, no blame and no disputes where practically possible.
		3.1.2	Ensure that sufficient resources are available, including appropriately qualified staff who are authorised to fulfil the responsibilities as allocated.
		3.1.3	Assume joint responsibility for the achievement of outcomes within our control.
		3.1.4	Commit to the principle of collective responsibility for the functioning of the ICPB and to share the risks and rewards (in the manner to be determined as part of the agreed transition arrangements) associated with the performance of the ICP Objectives.
		3.1.5	Adhere to statutory requirements and best practice by complying with applicable laws and standards including EU procurement rules, EU and UK competition rules, data protection and freedom of information legislation.
		3.1.6	Agree to work together on a transparent basis (for example, open book accounting where possible) subject to compliance with all applicable laws, particularly competition law, and agreed information sharing protocols and ethical walls.
4 Role	4.1	reside whole,	urpose of the ICPB is to consider the best interests of nts in the City and Hackney health and care system as a rather than representing the individual interests of any of mbers over those of another.
	4.2	The ro	le of the ICPB is as follows:
		4.2.1	To set a local system vision and strategy, which reflects both priorities determined by local residents and communities; the C&H ICP contribution to NEL ICS, and which is aligned with the health and care components of the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy produced by the HWBs;
		4.2.2	To oversee system delivery of performance against national targets, NEL-level Long Term Plan commitments and ICP strategy;
		4.2.3	To oversee the use of resources within delegated financial allocations and promote financial sustainability;
		4.2.4	To establish a local outcomes framework and assure itself that performance against this will be achieved;
		4.2.5	To agree the Mandate and associated annual objectives with the NH&CB and hold the NH&CB to account for delivery of these;

	4.3	 4.2.6 To make recommendations about the exercise of those functions that a constituent statutory organisation has asked the ICPB to consider on its behalf; 4.2.7 To ensure that co-production is embedded across all areas of operation, consistent with the City and Hackney co-production charter. Where a Member organisation has asked the ICPB to consider and make recommendations to it so as to support that Member organisation in the exercise of its statutory functions, these are set out in Annex 1 in Part 2 to these terms of reference. The ICPB may in turn ask that these matters are considered by another part of the ICP governance structure, provided that it ensures appropriate oversight and reporting arrangements are in place so as to meet its own obligations, under these terms of
		reference, as set out in Part 2 to these terms of reference.
5 Remit	5.1	The ICPB's remit shall include:
		5.1.1 producing and championing a coherent vision and strategy for health and care for the ICP, which is aligned with the health and care components of the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy produced by the HWBs;
		5.1.2 developing and describing the high-level strategic objectives for the system that are related to health and wellbeing;
		5.1.3 producing an outcomes framework for the whole of the ICP to deliver increasing healthy life expectancy, address local variation and which seeks to reduce health inequalities;
		5.1.4 promoting stakeholder engagement which will include engaging with staff, patients and the population;
		5.1.5 developing a coherent approach to measuring outcomes and strategic objectives;
		5.1.6 ensuring the delivery of high-quality outcomes, putting patient safety and quality first;
		5.1.7 having oversight and management of the ICP financial resources, reporting to the ICS and to Member organisations as appropriate;
		5.1.8 making recommendations on the delivery of those functions that the ICPB is asked to consider on behalf of one of its Members, as set out in Annex 1 in Part 2 below.
6 Geographical Coverage	6.1	The ICPB shall cover the City and Hackney ICP area, which is coterminous with boundaries of the City of London and the London Borough of Hackney.

7 Membership	7.1	ICPB Members' representatives are selected so as to be representative of the constituent organisations referred to in paragraph 7.3 below, but participate in the ICPB to - as far as possible - promote the greater collective endeavour. Member representatives of the ICPB are intentionally broader than the three statutory committees/sub-committees that form part of the overall ICPB structure.
	7.2	ICPB Members' representatives are expected to make good two-way connections between the ICPB and their constituent organisations, modelling a partnership approach to working as well as listening to the voices of patients and the general public.
	7.3	The membership of the ICPB shall include the following representatives:
		• Two representatives from Homerton University Hospital Foundation Trust, who shall be the Chair and Chief Executive;
		• Two representatives from East London NHS Foundation Trust, who shall be the Chief Executive and a Non- Executive Director;
		• One representative from the City of London Corporation, who shall be the Director of Community and Children's Services;
		• One representative from the London Borough of Hackney, who shall be the Chief Executive;
		• One public health representative, who shall be the Director of Public Health for City and Hackney;
		One representative from Healthwatch Hackney;
		 One representative from the City of London Healthwatch;
		• Two representatives from City and Hackney GP Confederation, who shall be the Chief Executive and one other nominated representative;
		 One representative from the Hackney Council for Voluntary Service, who shall be the Chief Executive;
		• Two Lay Member representatives from NEL CCG;
		Two PCN Clinical Directors;
		 Three LBH representatives (each of whom will be a Councillor and who will together operate as the London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee, which shall be able to make decisions on matters that fall within its authority, as set out in the

Г	
	Committee's terms of reference, which are included in Part 2). Officer representatives of the LBH who attend the ICPB as Member representatives for the LBH are not members of the London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee.
	• Three City of London Corporation representatives (each of whom will be a Councillor and who will together operate as the City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee , which shall be able to make decisions on matters that fall within its authority, as set out in the Committee's terms of reference, which are included in Part 2). Officer representatives of the COLC who attend the ICPB as Member representatives for the COLC are not members of the City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee.
	• Six NEL CCG representatives (operating as the NEL CCG Governing Body City and Hackney Area Committee, which shall be able to make decisions on matters that fall within its authority, as set out in the Committee's terms of reference, which are included in Part 2). The six NEL CCG representatives are as follows:
	ICP Managing Director or other similarly senior ICP lead;
	Governing Body Lay Member;
	Borough Clinical Chair;
	Accountable Officer or nominated deputy;
	Chief Finance Officer, or nominated deputy;
	Director of Finance.
	The ICPB may invite others to attend meetings, where this would assist it in its role and in the discharge of its duties.
	7.5 The arrangements regarding decision making, administrative support for the ICPB and management of conflicts of interest are set out below.
8 Chairing Arrangements	8.1 The ICPB will adopt a rotating arrangement in relation to its Chair, with the responsibility being shared between the Chairs of the three statutory committees that form the Integrated Care Board. Each Chair will serve for a period of six months, and the sequence of rotation shall follow that set out the Integrated Care Board's terms of reference contained in Part 2, meaning that the Chair of the ICPB will be the same individual who leads and facilitates the Integrated Commissioning Board at the time.
	B.2 If the Chair due to lead and facilitate discussions at a particular ICPB meeting or on a particular matter is absent or required to

		sten a	side due to a conflict of interest, an alternative chair shall
		•	reed from the other committee Chairs by the ICPB.
	8.3	The Chair of the ICPB will have the following specific roles and responsibilities:	
		8.3.1	be a visible, engaged and active leader;
		8.3.2	have sufficient time, experience and the right skills to carry the full responsibilities of the role;
		8.3.3	ensure that the ICPB supports the operation of the Member organisations;
		8.3.4	promote the governance design principles in the ICPB's operation, as follows:
			(a) 80:20 local:NEL;
			(b) clinically led;
			(c) resident driven;
			(d) size balanced with appropriate representation;
			(e) sensitive to democratic accountability;
			(f) recognises sovereignty;
		8.3.5	create an open, honest and positive culture, encouraging partnership working and consensus decision-making;
		8.3.6	comply with the agreed governance requirements, including in relation to managing actual and potential conflicts of interest;
		8.3.7	ensure reporting requirements are complied with.
	8.4		first meeting, the ICPB will appoint a Deputy Chair drawn s Members' representatives.
9 Meetings and Decision Making	9.1	frame	CPB will operate in accordance with the ICS governance work, as set out in the ICS Governance Handbook, except erwise provided below.
	9.2		meeting of the ICPB to be quorate, the following ements must be met:
		9.2.1	Each of the three aligned statutory committees must be present and quorate;
		9.2.2	At least one representative from each other constituent Member organisations must be present. Each representative must have appropriate delegated

		responsibility from the organisation they represent to make decisions on matters within the ICPB's remit.
	9.3	If it is not possible for one or more of the statutory committees to convene a quorate meeting, meetings of the ICPB may proceed provided that there is at least one individual representative present from the statutory organisation in question. It shall be the responsibility of that individual to ensure the scope of their authority is clear and that any matters requiring a decision of the statutory committee are reserved and ratified by the committee in question at a later date.
	9.4	There will no less than [six] meetings per year.
	9.5	Meetings shall be held in public and members of the public will have an opportunity to ask questions. The ICPB may resolve into private session as provided in the ICS's Standing Orders or, where appropriate, in accordance with the arrangements governing one or more of the statutory committees operating as part of, or in parallel with, the ICPB.
	9.6	Other senior representatives of the Members may be invited for specific items where necessary.
	9.7	Meeting dates are set by the governance team for each financial year in advance. Changes to meeting dates or calling of additional meetings should be provided to Members' representatives and attendees within five days of the meeting.
	9.8	A minimum of five working days' notice and dispatch of meeting papers is required. Notice of all meetings shall comprise venue, time and date of the meeting, together with an agenda of items to be discussed and supporting papers.
	9.9	To the extent allowed by law, the Chair may agree that Members' representatives on the ICPB may participate in meetings by means of telephone, video or computer link or other live and uninterrupted conferencing facilities. Participation in a meeting in this manner shall be deemed to constitute presence in person at such meeting.
	9.10	The Chair may determine that the ICPB needs to meet on an urgent basis, in which case the notice period shall be as specified by the Chair. To the extent allowed by law, urgent meetings may be held virtually.
	9.11	The aim will be for decisions of the ICPB to be achieved by consensus decision making. Voting between Members will not be used, except as a tool to measure support or otherwise for a proposal. In such a case, a majority vote in favour would be non- binding. The Chair will work to establish unanimity as the basis for all decisions.
	9.12	In the event that the ICPB is unable to agree a consensus position on a matter it is considering, this will not prevent any or

		all of the statutony committees tables any applicable desidence
		all of the statutory committees taking any applicable decisions they are required to take. To the extent permitted by their individual terms of reference, statutory committees may utilise voting on matters they are required to take decisions on.
	9.13	In situations where any decision(s) require the exercise of Member organisation(s) Reserved statutory functions, then these shall be made solely by the organisation(s) in question, pursuant to the Member-specific arrangements set out in Part 2 of these terms of reference. To the extent permitted by law, discussion and decision-making in relation to reserved statutory functions will take place within the ICPB structure.
	9.14	Conflicts of interest will be managed in accordance with the policies and procedures of the ICS and shall be consistent with the statutory duties contained in applicable legislation and the statutory guidance issued to Member organisations.
	9.15	A member of the CCG's Governance team shall be secretary to the ICPB and shall attend to take minutes of the meeting and provide appropriate support to the Chair and Members' representatives. The Member organisations shall agree between them the format of the minutes.
10 Accountability and Reporting	10.1	The ICPB will report to the NEL ICS in relation to the exercise of its functions.
	10.2	The ICPB will ensure that it complies with any Member-specific reporting requirements.
	10.3	The NH&CB will report to the ICPB on those matters that the ICPB has asked the NH&CB to consider on behalf of the ICP.
	10.4	The ICPB will receive reports from the Health and Wellbeing Boards and make recommendations to them on matters concerning delivery of the ICP priorities and delivery of the ICP outcomes framework. Health and Wellbeing Boards will continue to have statutory responsibility for the Joint Strategic Needs Assessments.
11 Working Groups	11.1	In order to assist it with performing its role and responsibilities, the ICPB is authorised to establish working groups and to determine the membership, role and remit for each working group. Any working group established by the ICPB will report directly to it.
	11.2	The terms of reference for any working group established by the ICPB will be incorporated within the ICS Governance Handbook. Where any working group is established to support the ICPB in making recommendations on the performance of functions that the NEL CCG Governing Body City and Hackney Area Committee has asked the ICPB to consider on its behalf, the terms of reference for such group will also be incorporated within the CCG Governance Handbook.

12	Monitoring Effectiveness and Compliar with Terms Reference	nce	12.1	The ICPB will carry out an annual review of its functioning and provide an annual report to the NEL ICS and to constituent Member organisations. This report will set out the ICPB's work in discharging its responsibilities, delivering its objectives and complying with its terms of reference.
13	Review Terms Reference	of of	13.1	The ICPB shall, at least annually, review its own performance and terms of reference to ensure it is operating at maximum effectiveness and recommend any changes it considers necessary to Member organisations for approval.

Part 2: Member specific decision-making structures operating as part of the ICP arrangements

This Part sets out the Member-specific arrangements that have been established in order to enable decision-making by constituent Member organisations on Reserved statutory functions.

It also sets out, at Annex 1, the statutory functions in relation to which constituent Member organisations have asked the ICPB to consider and recommend how those functions should be exercised.

This Part includes the following terms of reference:

- (a) Terms of reference for the CCG ICP Area Committee;
- (b) Terms of reference for the City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee;
- (c) Terms of reference for the London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee;
- (d) Terms of reference for the CCG ICP Finance & Performance Sub-Committee; and
- (e) Terms of reference for the CCG ICP Quality Sub-Committee.

Committees (a), (b) and (c) will, where an integrated commissioner-only decision is required, meet in common as the Integrated Commissioning Board. This is described in more detail in the Integrated Commissioning Board's Terms of Reference, which follow below.

The Integrated Commissioning Board

The Integrated Commissioning Board ("**ICB**") has been in place for **[X]** and has successfully enabled integrated decision-making between NHS City & Hackney CCG (one of the legacy CCGs that now forms part of NHS NEL CCG) and the City of London Corporation and the London Borough of Hackney. These arrangements will continue, but with the expectation that many of the discussions can take place within the ICPB itself, with decisions being taken as appropriate by each statutory committee on matters within the committee's authority.

Composition and authority

The ICB brings together the following committees:

(a) the City of London Corporation Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee, which is established as a sub-committee under the COLC's Community and Children's Services Committee ("**the COLC Committee**");

(b) the London Borough of Hackney Integrated Commissioning Sub-Committee, which is established as a sub-committee reporting to the LBH Cabinet ("**the LBH Committee**"); and

(c) the City and Hackney ICP Area Committee, which is established as a committee reporting to the NEL CCG Governing Body ("**the Area Committee**").

The COLC Committee has authority to make decisions on behalf of COLC, which shall be binding on COLC, in accordance with the terms of reference set out here and with the scheme of delegation and reservation for the integrated commissioning arrangements.

The LBH Committee has authority to make decisions on behalf of LBH, which shall be binding on LBH, in accordance with these terms of reference and the scheme of delegation and reservation for the integrated commissioning arrangements.

The Area Committee has authority to exercise the functions delegated to it by the NEL CCG Governing Body and to make decisions on matters relating to these delegated functions, in accordance with its terms of reference and the associated CCG governance framework.

Section 75 pooled fund arrangements

Where section 75 pooled fund arrangements have been established, the following arrangements will apply:

- Members of the COLC Committee and the Area Committee will manage the Pooled Funds for which they have been assigned authority in accordance with a section 75 agreement in place between COLC and the CCG ("City Pooled Funds");
- Members of the LBH Committee and the Area Committee will manage the Pooled Funds for which they have been assigned authority in accordance with a section 75 agreement in place between LBH and the CCG ("Hackney Pooled Funds").

The LBH Committee shall have no authority in respect of City Pooled Funds and vice versa.

For services where no pooled fund arrangement is in place, the ICB arrangements may be used to make recommendations to the Area Committee, COLC Community and Children's Services Committee or LBH Cabinet as appropriate and in accordance with the relevant section 75 agreement.

Objectives

The ICB's specific objectives are to:

Commissioning strategies and plans

- Lead the commissioning agenda of the ICP area, including inputs from, and relationships with, all partners;
- Ensure that co-production is embedded across all areas of commissioning in line with the City and Hackney co-production charter;
- Ensure financial sustainability and drive local transformation programmes and initiatives;
- Determine and advise on the local impacts of commissioning recommendations and decisions taken at a NEL level;
- Ensure that the [Locality Plan] is delivering the local contribution to the ambitions of the NEL ICS;
- Lead the development and scrutiny and annual commissioning intentions as set out in the Integrated Commissioning Strategy, including the monitoring, review, commissioning and decommissioning of activities;
- Provide advice to the CCG about core primary care and make recommendation to the [CCG's Local GP Provider Contracts Committee];
- Ensure that local plans deliver constitutional requirements, financial balance, and support the improvement in performance and outcomes established by the Health and Wellbeing Boards;
- Promote health and wellbeing, reduce health inequalities, and address the public health and health improvement agendas in making commissioning recommendations
- Ensure commissioning decisions are made by the ICB in a timely manner that address financial challenges of both the in-year and longer term plans;
- Ensure that local plans can demonstrate their impact on City residents and City workers where appropriate.

Service re-design

- Approve all clinical and social care guidelines, pathways, service specifications, and new models of care;
- Ensure all local guidelines and service specifications and pathways are developed in line with NICE and other national evidence, best practice and benchmarked performance;
- Drive continuous improvement in all areas of commissioning, pathway and service redesign delivering increased quality performance and improved outcomes;
- Ensure that services are. co-designed by residents and practitioners working together and adhere to the principles set out in the City and Hackney Co-production charter.

Contracting and performance

- Oversee the annual contracting and planning processes and ensure that contractual arrangements are supporting the ambitions of the CCG, LBH and COLC to transform services, ensure integrated delivery and improve outcomes;
- Oversee local financial and operational performance and decisions in respect of investment and disinvestment plans.

Stakeholder engagement

- Ensure adequate structures are in place to support patient, public, service user, and carer involvement at all levels and that the equalities agenda is delivered;
- Ensure that arrangements are in place to support collaboration with other localities when it has been identified that such collaborative arrangements would be in the best interests of local patients, public, service users, and carers;
- Ensure and monitor on-going discussion between the ICB and provider organisations about long-term strategy and plans.

Programme management

- Oversee the work of the Accountable Officers Group including their work on the workstreams and enabler groups ensuring system wide implications are considered;
- Ensure that risks associated with integrated commissioning are identified and managed, including to the extent necessary through risk management arrangements established by the CCG, LBH and COLC.

Safeguarding

• In discharging its duties, act such that it supports the CCG, LBH and COLC to comply with the statutory duties that apply to them in respect of safeguarding patients and service users.

Accountability and Reporting

The ICB will report to the relevant forum as determined by the CCG, LBH and COLC. The matters on which, and the arrangements through which, the ICB is required to report shall be determined by the CCG, LBH and COLC (and shall include requirements in respect of Better Care Fund budgets).

The ICB will present for approval by the CCG, LBH and COLC as appropriate proposals on matters in respect of which authority is reserved to the CCG and/or COLC and/or LBH (including in respect of aligned fund services). The ICB will also provide advice to the CCG about core primary care commissioning and make recommendations to the appropriate CCG Committee.

The ICB will receive reports from the CCG, LBH and COLC on decisions made by those bodies where authority for those decisions is retained by them but the matters are relevant to the work of the ICB.

The ICB will provide reports to the Health and Wellbeing Boards, the ICPB, the NEL ICS Board and other committees as required.

Membership

The membership of the committees which the ICB brings together is set out in the table below:

COLC Committee	LBH Committee	Area Committee
The Chairman of the Community and Children's Services Committee (Chair)	LBH Lead Member for Health, Adult Social Care and Leisure (Chair)	NEL CCG Governing Body Lay Member (Chair)

The Deputy Chairman of the Community and Children's Services Committee	LBH Lead Member for Education, Young People and Children's Social Care	NEL CCG Accountable Officer or nominated deputy
1 other Member from the Community and Children's Services Committee who is a Member of the Court of Common Council	LBH Lead Member of Finance, Housing Needs and Supply	NEL CCG Chief Finance Officer or nominated deputy
		NEL CCG Borough Clinical Chair (for City and Hackney) NEL CCG ICP Managing Director (or other similarly senior ICP lead) NEL CCG City and Hackney ICP Director of Finance

The membership will be kept under review and through approval from the CCG's Governing Body, COLC's Community and Children's Services Committee and LBH's Elected Mayor as appropriate.

<u>Deputy</u>

The CCG's Accountable Officer and Chief Finance Officer may nominate a deputy to attend in their place, as provided for in the Area Committee's Terms of Reference.

Any member of the LBH Committee may appoint a deputy who is a Cabinet Member.

The COLC Community and Children's Services Committee may appoint up to three of its members who are members of the Court of Common Council to deputise for any member of the COLC Committee.

Any member appointing a deputy for a particular meeting of the ICB must give prior notification of this to the Chair.

<u>Attendees</u>

As the three committees shall meet in common, the members of each committee shall be in attendance at the meetings of the other two committees.

It is expected that meetings of the ICB will largely take place within the ICPB structure and, therefore, subject to conflict of interest management and ensuring compliance with each component part of the ICB's governance requirements, members of the ICPB and attendees (as specified in the ICPB's terms of reference) may be in attendance.

The following shall be expected to attend the meetings of the ICB, contribute to all discussion and debate, but will not participate in decision-making:

• The Director of Community and Children's services (Authorised Officer for COLC);

- The City of London Corporation Chamberlain;
- LBH Group Director Finance and Corporate Resources;
- LBH Group Director Children, Adults and Community Services.

The ICB may also call additional experts to attend meetings on an ad hoc basis to inform discussions.

Other parties may be invited to send representatives to attend the ICB's meetings in a nondecision-making capacity.

Leading and facilitating the discussion

For the first six months after its formation, where the three committees first met in common as the ICB, the Chair of the LBH Committee lead and facilitated the discussions. The Chair of the NHS City & Hackney CCG's Integrated Commissioning Committee ("ICC") performed the same role for the following six months; and the Chair of the COLC Committee performed the same role for the six months after that. Thereafter the role has swapped between the three Chairs, with each performing it for six months at a time.

These arrangements described immediately above will continue in sequence, but with the Chair of the Area Committee taking the place of the Chair of the ICC.

If the Chair nominated to lead and facilitate discussions in a particular meeting or on a particular matter is absent for any reason (for example, due to a conflict of interests) another of the committees' Chairs shall perform that role.

If all three Chairs are absent for any reason, the members of the COLC Committee, the LBH Committee and the Area Committee shall together select a person to lead and facilitate for the whole or part of the meeting concerned.

Quorum and voting

For the Area Committee the quorum will be **three of the six** members (or deputies duly authorised in accordance with these terms of reference), ensuring that the requirements set out in the Area Committee's terms of reference around the mix of individuals required for quoracy to be met are adhered to.

For the COLC committee the quorum will be **all three** members (or deputies duly authorised in accordance with these terms of reference).

For the LBH committee the quorum will be **two of the three** Council Members (or deputies duly authorised in accordance with these terms of reference).

Each of the COLC, LBH and CCG committees must reach its own decision on any matter under consideration and will do so by consensus of its members where possible. If consensus within a committee is impossible, that committee may take its decision by simple majority, and the Chair's casting vote if necessary.

The COLC Committee, the LBH Committee and CCG Committee will each aim to reach compatible decisions.

Matters for consideration by the three committees meeting in common as the ICB may be identified in meeting papers as requiring positive approval from all three committees in order to proceed. Any matter identified as such may not proceed without positive approval from all

of the COLC Committee, the LBH Committee and the CCG Committee. These decisionmaking arrangements shall be included in the review of these terms of reference as set out below.

Meetings and administration

The ICB's members will be given no less than five clear working days' notice of its meetings. This will be accompanied by an agenda and supporting papers and sent to each member no later than five working days before the date of the meeting. In urgent circumstances the requirement for five working days' notice may be truncated.

The ICB shall meet whenever COLC, LBH and the CCG consider it appropriate that it should do so but the three committees meeting as the ICB would usually meet [every month]. When the Chairs of the CCG, LBH and COLC Committees deem it necessary in light of urgent circumstances to call a meeting at short notice this notice period shall be such as they shall specify.

Meetings of the ICB shall be held in accordance with Access to Information procedures for COLC, LBH and the CCG, rules and other relevant constitutional requirements. The dates of the meetings will be published by the CCG, LBH and COLC. The meetings of the ICB will be held in public, subject to any exemption provided by law or any matters that are confidential or commercially sensitive. This should only occur in exceptional circumstances and is in accordance with the open and accountable local government guidance (June 2014).

Secretarial support will be provided to the ICB and minutes shall be taken of all of its meetings. The CCG, COLC and LBH shall agree between them the format of the joint minutes of the ICB which will separately record the membership and the decisions taken by the CCG Committee, the COLC Committee and the LBH Committee. Agenda, decisions and minutes shall be published in accordance with partners' Access to Information procedures rules.

Decisions made by the COLC Committee may be subject to referral to the Court of Common Council in accordance with COLC's constitution. Cabinet decisions made by the LBH Committee may be subject to call-in by members of the Council in accordance with LBH's constitution. Decisions made by the Area Committee may be subject to review by the CCG's Governing Body or otherwise in accordance with CCG's constitution. However, the CCG, LBH and COLC will manage the business of the ICB, including consultation with relevant forum and/or officers within those organisations, such that the incidence of decisions being reviewed or referred is minimised.

Conflicts of Interest

The partner organisations represented in the ICB are committed to conducting business and delivering services in a fair, transparent, accountable and impartial manner. ICB members will comply with the Conflicts of Interest policy statement developed for the ICB, as well as the arrangements established by the organisations that they represent or the ICS.

A register of interests will be completed by all members and attendees of the ICB and will be kept up to date in line with the policy. Before each meeting each member or attendee will examine the agenda to identify any matters in which they have (or may be perceived to have) an interest. Such interests may be in addition to those declared previously.

Any such conflicts should be raised with the Chair and the secretariat at the earliest possible time.

The Chair will acknowledge the register of interests at the start of the meeting as an item of business. There will be the opportunity for any potential conflicts of interest to be debated and the Chair (on the basis of advice where necessary) may give guidance on whether any conflicts of interest exist and, if so, the arrangements through which they may be addressed.

In respect of the CCG Committee, the members will have regard to any such guidance from the Chair and should adopt it upon request to do so. Where a member declines to adopt such guidance, it is for the Chair to determine whether a conflict of interests exists and, if so, the arrangements through which it will be managed.

In respect of the COLC Committee and the LBH Committee, it is for the members to declare any conflicts of interests which exist (taking into account any guidance from the Chair) and, if so, to adopt any arrangements which they consider to be appropriate.

In some cases, it may be possible for a person with a conflict of interest to participate in a discussion but not the decision that results from it. In other cases, it may be necessary for a person to withdraw from the meeting for the duration of the discussion and decision. Where the nominated Chair (or another person selected to lead and facilitate a meeting) has a conflict of interests, the arrangements set out above (under Leading and facilitating the discussion) shall apply.

When considering any proposals relating to actual or potential contractual arrangements with local GP providers the ICB will seek independent advice from the [CCG Local GP Provider Contracts Committee] who provide a scrutiny function for all such matters, particularly that the contract is in the best interests of local people, represents value for money and is being recommended without any conflict of interest from GPs.

All declarations and discussions relating to them will be minuted.

Additional requirements

The members of the ICB have a collective responsibility for the operation of it. They will participate in discussion, review evidence, and provide objective expert input to the best of their knowledge and ability, and endeavour to reach a collective view. They will take advice from the [Accountable Officers Group] and from other advisors where relevant.

The ICB functions through the scheme of delegation and financial framework agreed by the CCG, COLC and LBH respectively, who remain responsible for their statutory functions and for ensuring that these are met and that the ICB is operating within all relevant requirements.

The ICB may assign tasks to such individuals or committees as it shall see fit, provided that any such assignments are consistent with each party's relevant governance arrangements, are recorded in a scheme of delegation for the relevant Committee, are governed by terms of reference as appropriate, and reflect appropriate arrangements for the management of any actual or perceived conflicts of interest.

<u>Review</u>

The terms of reference will be reviewed annually, to coincide with reviews of the section 75 agreements.

City and Hackney ICP Area Committee of the North East London CCG Governing Body

1	Status of Committee	the	1.1	The Committee is a committee of the North East London CCG Governing Body ("NEL CCG Governing Body"), established in accordance with Schedule 1A of the 2006 Act and with the specific provisions contained within the CCG's Constitution and in the NHS Act 2006. The Committee will commence its operation on 1 April 2021.
2	Role of Committee	the	2.1	The Committee has been established in order to enable the CCG to take decisions on the Delegated Functions within the ICPB structure, as permitted by law, and to enable, where necessary, commissioner only decision-making on the Reserved Functions in a simple and efficient way. The Delegated and Reserved Functions are summarised below and are also set out in the CCG's SoRD.
			2.2	In each case, where the Committee has been asked to oversee the development of a policy, framework or other equivalent, this includes the function of providing assurance to the NEL CCG Governing Body on the appropriateness of the policy, framework or other equivalent in question.
3	Authority		3.1	The Committee is authorised by the NEL CCG Governing Body to investigate any activity within these Terms of Reference. It is authorised to seek any information it requires in this regard from any employee within the CCG and all employees are directed to cooperate with any request made by the Committee.
			3.2	The Committee is also authorised by the NEL CCG Governing Body to obtain outside legal or other independent professional advice and to secure the attendance of outsiders with relevant experience and expertise if it considers this necessary.
			3.3	The Committee will be responsible for determining any additional or reconfigured sub-structural arrangements to support fulfilment of the Committee's remit.
4	Delegated Functions		4.1	The Delegated Functions that the Committee will exercise include the following. In general, and subject to the Reserved Functions, the intention is that the Delegated Functions will be exercised within the ICPB structure.
			4.2	<u>Commissioning Strategy</u> : the Committee will have lead responsibility for the CCG's commissioning strategy in the ICP area. This includes exercising the following specific functions in this context:
				4.2.1 overseeing the health and care needs assessment process within the ICP area and supporting the CCG in the overall health and care needs assessment process in the ICP;

	4.2.2	overseeing the development of the commissioning vision and outcomes setting, and supporting the CCG in the development of the overall commissioning vision and outcomes setting, within the ICP area;
	4.2.3	overseeing the development and implementation of service specification and standards within the ICP area, ensuring that these are consistent with the overarching principles agreed by the CCG;
	4.2.4	overseeing the development and implementation of a decommissioning policy within the ICP area, ensuring consistency with the overall policy agreed by the CCG.
4.3	respoi ICP ai includ	ation health management: the Committee will have lead nsibility for population modelling and analysis within the rea, supporting the CCG to discharge its statutory duties, ing those relating to equality and inequality. This includes using the following specific functions in this context:
	4.3.1	ensuring appropriate arrangements are in place to support the ICP to carry-out predicative modelling and trend analysis;
	4.3.2	overseeing and implementing information governance arrangements within the ICP area;
	4.3.3	overseeing the development and implementation of system incentives and re-alignment in order to deliver a response population health driven system.
4.4	it to co manag	<u>et management:</u> the Committee will work the ICPB, asking onsider and make recommendations on aspects of market gement as appropriate, as part of its overall role in relation function, as follows:
	4.4.1	working with the ICPB to evaluate health and care services in the ICP area;
	4.4.2	working with the ICPB to design and develop health and care services;
	4.4.3	agreeing the strategic market shape for the ICP area, ensuring consistency with the overall objectives and principles agreed by the CCG for the ICP;
	4.4.4	leading on horizon scanning within the ICP area.
4.5	the Co	cial and contract management: the Committee will support CG in discharging its statutory financial duties, including th managing the budget delegated to it by the NEL CCG ming Body and exercising the following functions:

	4.5.1	managing the budget for the ICP area, ensuring that it operates within the agreed CCG financial accountability and reporting framework;
	4.5.2	managing the allocation of budgets to any Borough sub- committee established by the Committee and ensure that accountability and reporting arrangements are in- place, consistent with the overall financial accountability and reporting framework agreed by the CCG;
	4.5.3	overseeing the development of a financial plan for the ICP area and, once approved by the NEL CCG Governing Body, manage the plan, ensuring that all NEL CCG Governing Body reporting requirements are met;
	4.5.4	leading on tendering and procurement within the ICP area;
	4.5.5	leading on contract design for health services commissioned within the ICP area;
	4.5.6	working with the ICPB to manage supply chain for health and care services within the ICP area;
4.6	discha discha	oring performance: the Committee will support the CCG in arging its statutory reporting requirements and in arging its duties in relation to quality and the improvement vices, as follows:
	4.6.1	working with the ICPB to manage and monitor contracts for health and care services in the ICP area;
	4.6.2	working with the ICPB to ensure continuous quality improvement in health and care services within the ICP area;
	4.6.3	complying with statutory reporting requirements in relation to services being commissioned in the ICP area;
	4.6.4	working with the ICPB in relation to safeguarding, ensuring that all CCG policies and procedures are appropriately implemented within the ICP area;
	4.6.5	overseeing safeguarding interventions, working with the ICPB;
	4.6.6	leading on performance review and management for the ICP area;
4.7	overal duty u consu	holder engagement and management: the Committee's Il role is to support the CCG in discharging its statutory inder section 14Z2 in relation to public involvement and Itation. This includes, but is not limited to the following insibilities:

	4.7.1 overseeing the development of the ICP engagement strategy and implementation plan;
	4.7.2 overseeing the development and delivery of patient and public involvement activities, as part of any service change process in the ICP area;
	4.7.3 facilitating and promote clinical and professional engagement within the ICP area.
4.8	In exercising the Delegated Functions, the Committee's role is to support the CCG in discharging its statutory duties.
4.9	When exercising any Delegated Functions, the Committee will ensure that it has regard to the statutory obligations that the CCG is subject to including, but not limited to, the following statutory duties set out in the 2006 Act:
	4.9.1 Section 14P – Duty to promote the NHS Constitution
	4.9.2 Section 14Q – Duty to exercise functions effectively, efficiently and economically
	4.9.3 Section 14R – Duty as to improvement in quality of services
	4.9.4 Section 14T – Duty as to reducing inequalities (and the separate legal duty under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the Public Sector Equality Duty)
	4.9.5 Section 14U – Duty to promote involvement of each patient
	4.9.6 Section 14V – Duty as to patient choice
	4.9.7 Section 14W – Duty to obtain appropriate advice
	4.9.8 Section 14X – Duty to promote innovation
	4.9.9 Section 14Z – Duty as to promoting education and training
	4.9.10 Section 14Z1 – Duty as to promoting integration
	4.9.11 Section 14Z2 – Public involvement and consultation (and the related duty under section 244 and the associated Regulations to consult relevant local authorities)
	4.9.12 Section 14O – Registers of interests and management of conflicts of interest
	4.9.13 Section 14S – Duty in relation to quality of primary medical services

			4.9.14 Section 223G – Means of meeting expenditure of CCGs
			out of public funds
			4.9.15 Section 223H – Financial duties of CCGs: expenditure
			4.9.16 Section 2231 - Financial duties of CCGs: use of resources
			4.9.17 Section 223J - Financial duties of CCGs: additional controls on resource use
		4.10	Annex 2 below sets out which of the above Delegated Functions are Reserved Functions, to be exercised by the Committee only.
		4.11	In performing its role, the Committee will exercise its functions in accordance with its Terms of Reference; the terms of the delegations made to it by the NEL CCG Governing Body and the financial limit on its delegated authority, which shall be the total budgeted resource allocated to the Committee.
		4.12	Where there is any uncertainty about whether a matter relates to the Committee in its capacity as a decision-making body within the CCG governance structure or whether it relates to its wider local system role as part of the ICPB, the flowchart included in Annex 3 to these Terms of Reference will be followed to guide the Chair's consideration of the issue.
5	Geographical Coverage	5.1	The geographical area covered will be the same as the ICPB.
6	Membership	6.1	There will be a total of six members, as follows:
			Accountable Officer or nominated deputy
			Chief Finance Officer or nominated deputy
			Governing Body Lay Member (Chair)
			Borough Clinical Chair
			ICP Managing Director or other similarly senior ICP lead
			Director of Finance
		6.2	Any member of the ICPB will have a standing invite to attend all meetings of the Committee.
		6.3	Although attendees will not have a formal decision-making role in relation to the Delegated Functions and will not be entitled to vote on such matters, they will be encouraged to participate in discussions and to contribute to the decision-making process, subject always to the Committee operating within the CCG's governance framework, including in relation to managing actual

7	Chairing Arrangements	7.1	The role of Chair of the Committee will be performed by the Governing Body Lay Member who is also a member of the Committee.
		7.2	At its first meeting, the Committee will appoint a Deputy Chair drawn from its membership.
8	Secretariat	8.1	Secretariat support will be provided to the Committee by the CCG's governance team.
9	Meetings and Decision Making	9.1	The Committee will operate in accordance with the CCG's governance framework, as set out in its Constitution and CCG Governance Handbook, except as otherwise provided below.
		9.2	The quoracy for the Committee will be three and must include one executive director, one lay member and one clinical director.
		9.3	The Chair may agree that members of the Committee may participate in meetings by means of telephone, video or computer link or other live and uninterrupted conferencing facilities. Participation in a meeting in this manner shall be deemed to constitute presence in person at such meeting.
		9.4	The Chair may determine that the Committee needs to meet on an urgent basis, in which case the notice period shall be as specified by the Chair. Urgent meetings may be held virtually.
		9.5	Each member of the Committee shall have one vote. Attendees do not have voting rights.
		9.6	The aim will be for decisions of the Committee to be achieved by consensus decision-making, with voting reserved as a decision-making step of last resort and/or where it is helpful to measure the level of support for a proposal.
		9.7	Decision making will be by a simple majority of those present and voting at the relevant meeting. In the event that a vote is tied, the Chair will have the casting vote.
		9.8	Members of the Committee have a duty to demonstrate leadership in the observation of the NHS Code of Conduct and to work to the Nolan Principles, which are: selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty and leadership.
		9.9	Conflicts of interest will be managed in accordance with the policies and procedures of the CCG and shall be consistent with the statutory duties contained in the 2006 Act and the statutory guidance issued by NHS England to CCGs ((Managing conflicts of interest: revised statutory guidance for CCGs 2017 https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/managing-conflicts-of-interest-revised-statutory-guidance-for-ccgs-2017/)
		9.10	Members of the Committee have a collective responsibility for its operation. They will participate in discussion, review evidence

_	and provide objective expert input to the best of their knowledge and ability, and endeavour to reach a collective view.
9.11	Where confidential information is presented to the Committee, all members will ensure that they comply with any confidentiality requirements.
9.12	The Committee will meet bi-monthly. The frequency of meetings may be varied to meet operational need, with the Chair determining this as necessary and in accordance with the provisions for meetings set out above.
10.1	The Committee shall be directly accountable to the NEL CCG Governing Body.
10.2	The Committee will ensure that it reports to the NEL CCG Governing Body on a bi-monthly basis and that a copy of its minutes is presented to the NEL CCG Governing Body, for information.
10.3	In the event that the NEL CCG Governing Body requests information from the Committee, the Committee will ensure that it responds promptly to such a request.
11.1	In order to assist it with performing its role and responsibilities, the Committee is authorised to establish sub-committees and to determine the membership, role and remit for each sub- committee. Any sub-committee established by the Committee will report directly to it.
11.2	The terms of reference for any sub-committee established by the Committee will be incorporated within the CCG Governance Handbook.
11.3	The Committee may decide to delegate decision-making to any of its sub-committees duly established but, unless this is explicitly stated within the terms of reference for the relevant sub-committee, the default will be that no decision-making has been delegated. Where decision-making responsibilities are delegated to a sub-committee, these will be clearly recorded in the Committee's SoRD, which shall be maintained by the Secretariat to the Committee and incorporated within the CCG Governance Handbook.
11.4	The Committee may delegate funds from its overall budget to a sub-committee, provided that appropriate accountability and reporting arrangements are agreed and that these reflect the Committee's own financial reporting requirements.
12.1	The Committee will carry out an annual review of its functioning and provide an annual report to the NEL CCG Governing Body on its work in discharging its responsibilities, delivering its objectives and complying with its terms of reference.
	 9.12 10.1 10.2 10.3 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4

13 Review	of	13.1	The terms of reference of the Committee shall be reviewed by
Terms Reference	of		the NEL CCG Governing Body at least annually.

LEGAL\48826441v1

Annex 1 <u>Functions that the ICPB will consider and make recommendations to the Area</u> <u>Committee on</u>

The Committee, operating in accordance with its terms of reference, hereby asks the ICPB to consider the following functions on its behalf and to make appropriate recommendations:

- 1 Developing, agreeing and implementing the ICP vision and outcomes, ensuring that this reflects the agreed CCG-specific vision and outcomes;
- 2 Supporting the CCG Committee in relation to market management, including through managing the following:
 - 2.1 service evaluation; and
 - 2.2 service design and development.
- 3 Supporting the CCG Committee in relation to financial and contract management, specifically through supply chain management.
- 4 Supporting the CCG by leading on planning and delivery within the ICP, ensuring that in doing so the outcomes are consistent with the ICP commissioning strategy agreed by the Committee, as follows:
 - 4.1 community-based assets identification and integration;
 - 4.2 integrated pathway-design;
 - 4.3 service and care coordination;
 - 4.4 place-based planning;
 - 4.5 evidence-based protocols and pathways;
 - 4.6 cost-reduction and demand management;
 - 4.7 workforce strategy.
- 5 Support the CCG Committee in relation to monitoring performance, including through considering and making recommendations on the following:
 - 5.1 contract management and monitoring;
 - 5.2 promoting continuous quality improvement;
 - 5.3 safeguarding interventions and learnings;
 - 5.4 regulatory liaison and relationship;
 - 5.5 regular public outcome reporting.
- 6 Support the CCG Committee in relation to stakeholder engagement and management, including through the following:
 - 6.1 political engagement;

- 6.2 clinical and professional engagement;
- 6.3 public and community engagement;
- 6.4 provider relationship management;
- 6.5 strategic partnership management.
- 7 When considering and making recommendations concerning the functions which the CCG has delegated to the Committee, the ICPB will ensure that it has regard to the statutory duties that the Committee is subject to, including but not limited to the following:
 - Section 14P Duty to promote the NHS Constitution
 - Section 14Q Duty to exercise functions effectively, efficiently and economically
 - Section 14R Duty as to improvement in quality of services
 - Section 14T Duty as to reducing inequalities (and the separate legal duty under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the Public Sector Equality Duty)
 - Section 14U Duty to promote involvement of each patient
 - Section 14V Duty as to patient choice
 - Section 14W Duty to obtain appropriate advice
 - Section 14X Duty to promote innovation
 - Section 14Z Duty as to promoting education and training
 - Section 14Z1 Duty as to promoting integration
 - Section 14Z2 Public involvement and consultation (and the related duty under section 244 and the associated Regulations to consult relevant local authorities)
 - Section 140 Registers of interests and management of conflicts of interest
 - Section 14S Duty in relation to quality of primary medical services
 - Section 223G Means of meeting expenditure of CCGs out of public funds
 - Section 223H Financial duties of CCGs: expenditure
 - Section 223I: Financial duties of CCGs: use of resources
 - Section 223J: Financial duties of CCGs: additional controls on resource use
- 8 The ICPB will report to the Committee on a [monthly] basis.
- 9 The Committee may revise the scope of the functions that it has asked the ICPB to manage on its behalf.

Annex 2: Reserved Functions to be exercised by the CCG's Area Committee only

This list sets out the key CCG functions that will be the exercised at the ICP level and where a formal, legal decision may be required by the CCG. The list is not an exhaustive list of the CCG's functions and should be read alongside the CCG's Constitution and the CCG's Governance Handbook.

The functions set out below may be exercised in the following ways:

- (a) by each of the CCG Governing Body ICP Area Committees established by the NEL CCG Governing Body; and/or
- (b) by individuals with delegated authority to act on behalf of the CCG and within the scope of such delegated authority.

Subject to ensuring that conflicts of interest are appropriately managed, the CCG Reserved Functions may be exercised by (a) or (b) at a meeting of the ICP Board.

CCG Reserved Functions:

- Approving commissioning plans (and subsequent revisions to such plans) developed in order to meet the agreed ICP population health needs assessment and strategy;
- Approving demographic, service use and workforce modelling and planning, where these relate to the CCG's commissioning functions;
- Approving proposed health needs prioritisation policies and ensuring that this enables the CCG to meet its statutory duties in relation to outcomes, equality and inequalities;
- Approving the CCG's financial plan for the ICP area;
- Approving financial commitments where these relate to delegated CCG budgets;
- Receiving recommendations from the ICP Finance and Performance Sub-Committee and making decisions on matters referred to it by that Sub-Committee;
- Approving procurement decisions, where these relate to health services commissioned by the CCG;
- Approving contract design, where these are developed specifically to reflect health needs and priorities within the ICP area;
- Approving health service change decisions (whether these involve commissioning or de-commissioning);
- Overseeing and approving any stakeholder involvement exercises proposed, consistent with the CCG's statutory duties in this context;

- Approving ICP-specific policies and procedures relating to the above, where these are different to any NEL CCG policies and procedures;
- Approving a proposal to enter into formal partnership arrangements with one or more local authority, including arrangements under section 75 of the NHS Act 2006;
- Other matters at the discretion of the City and Hackney ICP Area Committee of the NEL CCG Governing Body or individuals with delegated authority acting on behalf of the CCG, where it is considered that the matter is one that should be considered and determined by the CCG alone (including where this is necessary in order to ensure appropriate management of conflicts of interest).

[ALSO: agree how specific treatment decisions, safeguarding, CHC etc. are dealt with revise this list accordingly once this has been discussed.]

Annex 3: Decision-Making Flow Chart

1 Does any legislation expressly place a function or duty on a statutory body or bodies which means that it and only it should determine the issue in question?

[If it does that statutory body or group of bodies should make the decision.]

2 Should no statutory body or bodies expressly hold such a function or duty then is the issue an ICS matter?

[If it is then the matter should go to the proper part of the ICS governance for determination.]

3 If the issue is an ICS matter, is it one that is within the ICPB's remit?

[If it is, then the matter should go to the ICPB for consideration]

4 Does the issue in question cover decisions that may fall for determination in both statutory forums and the ICPB?

[If the split in decision making is apparent then that should be followed, otherwise the matter should be referred to Chairs of the three ICB constituent committees/sub-committees for guidance on the approach to be followed].

Title:	Integrated Commissioning Risk Registers
Date of meeting:	11 February 2020
Lead Officer:	Matthew Knell – Head of Governance & Assurance, CCG
	Stella Okonkwo – Integrated Commissioning Programme Manager
	Workstream Directors
Author:	Workstream Directors
Committee(s):	Integrated Commissioning Board, 13 May 2020
Public / Non-public	Public.

Executive Summary:

This report presents the detailed risk registers for the Integrated Commissioning workstreams and the IC Programme.

Update on ICOM Register: following the merger of the 7 NE London Commissioning Alliance CCGs into the single NE London CCG, the ICOM Register has been retired. An update on reporting of strategic integrated care risks will be brought to the ICB in the coming months.

Recommendations:

The City Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:

• To **NOTE** the registers.

The Hackney Integrated Commissioning Board is asked:

• To **NOTE** the registers.

Strategic Objectives this paper supports:

Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention to improve the long term health and wellbeing of local people and address health inequalities	The risk register supports all the programme objectives
Deliver proactive community based care closer to home and outside of	The risk register supports all the programme objectives





City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group



institutional settings where appropriate	
Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system and achieve our financial plans	The risk register supports all the programme objectives
Deliver integrated care which meets the physical, mental health and social needs of our diverse communities	The risk register supports all the programme objectives
Empower patients and residents	The risk register supports all the programme objectives

Specific implications for City

N/A

Specific implications for Hackney

N/A

Patient and Public Involvement and Impact:

N/A

Clinical/practitioner input and engagement:

N/A

Supporting Papers and Evidence:

N/A

Sign-off:

Charlotte Painter – Director: Planned Care

Amy Wilkinson - Director: Children, Maternity, Young People and Families

Nina Griffith – Director: Unplanned Care





City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group









City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group

Children, Young People, Maternity and Families Workstream Risk Register - May 2021

					Residu	ial Ris	sk Sco	re					,	Objective	e	
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance Deliver integrated care	which meets physical and mental health of our diverse	Empower patients and residents
1	Immunisations for pregnant women. There is a very low updake of flu and pertussis immunisations to pregnant women in City & Hackney. The effect of low update can result in maternal morbidity.	10	4	4	4	6	6	6		Plans for improving uptake of imms through HUFT maternity unit (2 immunisers now on site) and with Primary Care as part of post COVID Increasing imms wider planning (alongside flu and childhood imms). As of November 2020 31% of pregnant women have been immunised to date, significantly increased since the previous year, and moving toward target. Work continues and this risk will be reviewed in early 2021 to assess the impact of mitigations. May 2021: Updated data requested from NHS England covering imms delivered by GPs and HUH, awaiting receipt of data	6	~			<	
2	Risk that CYP with complex health needs do not receive sufficient additional support in school to meet their needs; and CCG not having a specified recurrent budget to meet these costs. This group are identified as being specifically vulernable to direct and indirect impacts of the pandemic.		8	12	12	9	9	9	~	LBH leads have reviewed function of Post 16 Panel and the flow of cases from Transitions Case Management Meeting. Health contributions to EHCP costs: - agreed with new Head of SEND that process should be streamlined and should sit within the scope of the EHCP Panel. A monthly panel meeting to pilot the Joint Funding protocol has been established. The first case has been successfully submited to the CCG for a contribution to a LAC residential placement. Although out of scope for funding recommendations, the process for reviewing adults' contributions for 18-25 years SEND plans is being progressed within the pilot. Pilot progress was reviewed by the Transitions Steering Group in January 2021 with a further review in 6 months. To update June 2021.	9				✓	
3	Risk around the speed at which the offer of Personal Budgets across the health, education and social care system is expanded.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	$ \longleftrightarrow $	To date, the following actions have been undertaken to ensure all children and young people who require them have personal health budgets 1. All continuing care packages have at least a notional personal budget 2. Children's Social care personal budgets are offered Planned NHSE support sessions delayed impacting review	6		~		✓	~

Cover Sheet

					Residu	ual Ri	sk Sco	re						Objecti	ve	
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and montal hoolth of our divorca	mental health of our diverse Empower patients and residents
4	Strategic challenges associated with collaborative working across a number of organisaitons and a broad spectrum of work areas have a negative impact of strategic CYPMF workstream deliverables. This may include a lack of 'buy in' from partners across the system and partners 'pulling away' from scoped workstream business - potentially leading to a duplication of work or things not being done, risks re budget pooling / aligning, definition of scope, slippage in timescales and reduced quality of services commissioned. Operational challenges associated with collaborative working across a number of organisations and a broad spectrum of work have a negative impact on service operations leading to reduced quality in outcomes for children.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	\leftarrow	The CYPMF Workstream held a workshop to look at proposals relating to potential pooling arrangements for SLT budgets acrosss the partnership. The workstream is continuing to monitor membership and ensure the governance is fit for purpose, and pursue integration opportunities on key areas of challenge (ie.immuisation, support for children with additonal needs etc).	4	~	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lack of a robust and integrated system approach to care and provision for CYP with LD and / or autism. Provision is of good quality at points throughout the CYP / family journey but is not a consistent pathway that supports early identification and prevention of escalation of needs.	12	9	12	12	12	12	12		CETR register is established but CCG is not receiving the number of referrals expected during Covid, with the lowered eligibility threshold. During COVID , services have rag rated their caseloads leading to inter service review of who is in contact with families. Tier 3.5 / Intensive Support Pathway: Following consultation with education and social care, SOG approved pilot initiation. Recruitment will begin with intended service delivery from September 2021 Community mapping exercise of autism and LD services submitted to NHSE January 2021. This will inform NHSE funding / development support priorities.	12				~	

				F	Residu	al Ris	k Scor	e					(Objectiv	/e
Ref#	Description	nherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and <u>mental health of our diverse</u> Empower patients and residents
8	Risk that low levels of childhood immunisations in the borough may lead to outbreaks of preventable disease that can severely impact large numbers of the population	15	4	10	10		15			Responsibility for commissioning and delivery of all immunisations sits across a wide range of partners. There is no statutory commissioning role for the CCG or for local Public Health, although City and Hackney CCG has continually invested in supporting delivery of immunisations in order to tackle our local challenges. Partnership work was developed through the measles outbreak in 2018 and the ongoing non recurrent investment in the GP Confederation has been built on during the pandemic. Over the course of the recent Covid 19 surge residents/patients have not been accessing routine healthcare to usual levels. A 2 year action plan to improve immunisations across the whole life course has been developed, with a number of pilots and interventions. These were set out in a paper to the ICB in June 2020. Key progress includes: 1. Commissioning of GP confederation catch up programme to support primary care ahead of winter 2020 (agreed July 2020) - good plans are in place and this is being taken forward with the GP Confederation. 2. Proposal being devleoped for health visitors to deliver immunisations in children's centres and for key 'at risk groups (ie. families in temp accom) 3. The Back to school communications campaign on childhood immunisations finished on 25 September, and communications are now focusing on flu immunisations. 4. New system governance and delivery structures in place, led by public health 5. Specific interventions for the North of the borough continue to be commissioned and delivered, including Sunday clinics, with new models being explored This risk is part of a broader system risk on immunisations, and there is still work to be done to clarify how responsibility for managing the risk is shared between CYPM, Planned Care and Primary Care Workstreams. A specific report on flu immunisations went to the October ICB. Current uptake of flu vaccinations for 2/3 year olds is 29%, significantly higher than this time last year and a new model of flu cacinations is being tested from children's centres. W	15		✓		
9	Gap in provision for children who require Independent Healthcare Plans (IHP) in early years settings, relating to health conditions such as asthma, epilepsy and allergies.	16	3	4	4	4	4	4		As part of the Independent Healthcare Plan (IHP) work, Public Health, the CCG, Hackney Learning Turst and the Homerton Hospital have set up a partnership approach to identify the small number of childre effected and take appropriate steps. Consequently there is no gap in provision and we are maintaining a watching brief to ensure this continues.	4				✓

					Residu	ual Ris	sk Scoi	re					Objectiv	ve
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Waintain system financial oalance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and mental health of our diverse Empower patients and residents
11	Health of Looked-After Children: Risk to sustaining service performance during transfer of service to new provider and change to service model	12	4	8	8	8	6	6	The service has successfully transferred to the Homerton without incident. We will continue to monitor delivery to ensure no issues arise. During covid 19 HUHT used virtual platforms to undertake iHAs and RHA which will be followed up f2f when lockdown is implemented.Risk is lack of face to face health assessments for UASC may result in reduced identification of health issues including mental health, immunisation requirements, blood borne diseases and communication challenges around intrepreting service. UCHL ID clinic has reopened in June and social workers able to refer directly. Virtual IHAs undertaken and to be followed up face 2 face .Designated Doctor for LAC has now retired, HUHT have advsertised post. Capacity issues escalated to CCG and HUHT by Designated LAC nurse. HUHT clinicians covering the post for health assessments. GPs informed via CCG GP network. Locum Designated Doctor is now in place since end of July 2020. Update 29/01: Service review post service transfer was submitted to the CCG in November 2020, resulting in increase to service funding in line with model endorsed by HUHT and partnership. Staffing resource is now sufficient for caseload and enhanced quality requirements of the specification. Risks remain around Doctor staffing for IHAs. There are two IHA streams per clinic, with the remaining 1st lockdown backlog being addressed. Update 25/03/21 nursing posts x2 recruited. Lockdown IHA backlog being monitored and appointments being offered F2F.	6				
15	There is a risk that Out of Area Looked-After-Children experience longer waiting times to access CAMHS and other services, and that those services provided may not be of as high a standard as those provided within City & Hackney.	12	9 (TBC)	9	9	9	6	6	 Arrangements are in place for clinical services to travel in order to meet the needs of LAC where possible. Where children are placed further away the clinical service will liaise with services loca to the child and the Designated Nurse for Looked After Children and Mental Health Commissioner on a case-by-case basis. Negotiations ongoing for a stronger service provision for City of London UESC. 25/11/2020 Risk reduced as HUHT are undertaking OOB placed health assessments 27/01/2021 The risk has been raised nationally at the National Network of Designated professionals fora to be further escalated to NHSE. Locally, City of London UASC are now commissioning services from Coram Baaf. The escalation process continues for LBH IAC. 25/03/2021 The risk remains due to a shortage of T4 beds nationally and increased numbers of referrals to CAMHs services locally and nationally. The Designated Nurse for LAC continues to advocate for OOB children placed who are unable to access CAMHS 					✓

				F	Residu	al Ris	k Scor	e					(Objecti	ve	
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and mental health of our diverse	Empower patients and residents
16	Gap in delivery of Tier 2 Audiology service for City and Hackney registered population. Service not restarted following pandemic pause in service delivery. Lack of HUHT community paediatricians to restart delivery of service. Plan to transfer service to Barts needs to be fast tracked and interim service solution identified.	12	6			12				Risk escalated to risk register and HUHT risk assessment requested 30/07/20. Service restarted in October provided jointly with Bart's, waiting list triaged and being addressed. Joint development of transfer plan for Barts service with start date of 1/4/21. Working group established. Risk not reduced in Q2 as funding risks not identified. Risk escalated by HUHT 01/21 as Tier 2 has again been paused by Barts. Concern about cumulative waiting list as previous backlog not cleared. CCG meeting with Newham CCG as commissioner lead and Barts is planned. Fortnightly transfer meeting established and detailed transfer plan agreed. Costs including data transfer and equipment are to be agreed. Indicative transfer date of 1/7/21	12		~	✓		
17	Significant staffing and recruitment issues in the HUHT Community Paediatrics service (approx 50% of Doctors)	15	6			12	12	12		Risk escalated to risk register and HUHT risk assessment requested 30/07/20. Interim support secured and workforce strengthened for high risk areas such as LAC. Risk not reduced in quarter as known vacancy issues emerging in December though recruitment planned. Update 29/01: During 2nd peak staffing concerns continue largely re fragility of LAC IHA Doctor resource (2 clinic streams retained currently) and EHCP clinic should numbers of assessment referrals increase - currently very low but influx may be expected. Due to shortage of paediatricians the role of Named Dr for safeguarding children HUH Community is currently unfilled. Update 05.21: CCG requested staffing plan and HUHT submitted the report that went to their April Trust Board. The CCG has requested further detail. Progress can be evidenced but risk remains around success of planned recruitment to 5 Consultant posts	12		~	✓	~	

					Resid	ual Ris	sk Sco	re							Objecti	ve	
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update	Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and mental health of our diverse	Empower patients and residents
18	Potentially significant increased demand for CAMHS support througout the impending phases of the pandemic, at specialist and universal level for children and families. As the pandemic has continued, we have seen increased pressure on T4 beds, and increasing crisis and ED presentations, which is also reflected across NEL and London. Many services are seeing a large risk in the number of referrals, particularly Tier 3 CAMHS, Eating Disorders and Crisis. In addition, specialist CAMHS have raised a risk of staff absence through sick leave due to workload.	12	9			12	12	15	.5		CAMHs have responded flexibly to support families during the peak of COVID, alongside schools and there are robust contingency plans in place for this to continue. This includes solid governance structures, RAG rating patients, children and families, the introduction of new online support and new services in development. We are now becoming more concerned about ongoing impacts of th pandemic on adolsecent and CYP mental health, with T4 beds at capacity and increasing presentations. This is being addressed at NEL, with a new crisis group working with the provider collaborative, and an Integrated discharge planning group has been set up to meet fornightly (with C&H, Newham and Tower Hamlets) with reps from health, education and social care to strengthen the community offer. Several new services are supporting families online (Kooth, Helios) and we are developing plans for an integrated T3.5 service. Through WAMHS we are writing to schools to encourage them to use their linked clinician for consultation so that, where possible, cases can be held through school intervention and referral to range of agencies, making sure referrals to CAMHS are appropriate. MHST has extended it's offer beyond it's original scope of Wave 1 WAMHS schools, to invite all schools to universal parent support and training groups (primary & secondary), as well as groups for secondary age children. Update 05:21: This risk and mitigation is continuing to be		V	✓		✓	✓
	During Covid-19 a combined NEL Safeguarding and Looked After Children risks register has been in place and reviewed monthly by the designated nurses. The NEL key risks relate to reduced face to face contact between services, schools and children during the COVID 19 Pandemic, and the increased risks to children which result from this. It is nationally anticipated that there may be a surge of safeguarding issues identified when COVID 19 restrictions end and move to business as usual returns.		6			твс	12	12	.2		The CYPMF Strategic Oversight Group (SOG) reviewed the NEL Safeguarding Risk register at its meeting on 7 December. Following the return of children in City & Hackney to school, the NEL Safeguarding group has been able to provide a clearer assessment of the risk to children. The SOG recognised the mitigations and assessment of revised risk scores represented by that group, and agreed to continue to review those risks, keeping them as a summary risk on the the CYPMF register (collectively rated 12), and be informed by the C&H Safeguarding Children's Partnership (of which the Workstream Director and designated nurse for Safeguarding Children are members). It was noted that additionally, these risks are mitigated in part by the actions relating to risks 2,5,11 and 15 on the CYPMF Register. The updated CYP Covid risk register was presented to CH SAG on 29.01.21. 25/03/21 Following the third lockdown the CHSCP have been meeting 3 weekly to highlight any significant themes, patterns and trends identified by all agencies in respect of safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children. Schools are now open again. For us in C&H our greatest concern relates to the large increase in referrals to CAMHS services (risk 19). The overarching NEL risk register is a collective but all boroughs are individually represented.		V			•	

				F	Resid	ual Ris	k Scoi	e		
Ref#	Description	Inherent Risk Score	Risk Tolerance	Q4 2019/20	Q1 2020/21	Q2 2020/21	Q3 2020/21	Q4 2020/21	Risk Movement	Monthly progress update
20	Loss of child protection information sharing (CPIS) data due to cyber -attack in Hackney council. This means that information regarding children, young people and unborn who are the subject of a child protection plan or are LAC may not be available to clinicians to inform assessment at unscheduled care appointments.	12						6		n/a - added April 2021

		(Objecti	ve	
Projected next quarter risk score	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	Community care close to home	Maintain system financial balance	Deliver integrated care which meets physical and mental health of our diverse	Empower patients and residents

Risk mitigations & further detail

Ref#:	1	Objective	to improve the	n resource and fo long term health d address health i		~
Date Added:			-	ve community bas ide of institutiona		
Date Updated:	04/05/2021			ntain financial bal r financial plans	ance as a system	
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG		-	ted care which me and social needs o		✓
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning		Empower patie	ents and residents		
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / Jairzina Weir					
		Inhoront Dick Cooro (nro mit	igations)	Posidual Pick	Score (post-mitig	actions)
Description Mitigations <i>(what are you do</i>	ing to address this risk?)	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mit	gutionsy	Residual Risks		
Mitigations (what are you do Range of activity to manage lo	ow uptake of immunisations for women in the ith NHSE, GPs and HUHFT; awareness raising	Data is being collected by HU				
Mitigations (what are you do Range of activity to manage lo borough, including working w with women and families and	ow uptake of immunisations for women in the ith NHSE, GPs and HUHFT; awareness raising scanning at 20 weeks. mmunisers are now immunising women as		IH on 20 week sca	ans alongside na	tional and regior	nal data.
Mitigations (what are you do Range of activity to manage lo borough, including working w with women and families and 1.5 Fte (+0.5 additional TBC) i they attend HUFT for antenat	ow uptake of immunisations for women in the ith NHSE, GPs and HUHFT; awareness raising scanning at 20 weeks. mmunisers are now immunising women as	Data is being collected by HU This will be monitored as par	IH on 20 week sca	ans alongside na	tional and regior	nal data.
Mitigations (what are you do Range of activity to manage lo borough, including working w with women and families and 1.5 Fte (+0.5 additional TBC) i they attend HUFT for antenat	ow uptake of immunisations for women in the ith NHSE, GPs and HUHFT; awareness raising scanning at 20 weeks. mmunisers are now immunising women as al appointments.	Data is being collected by HU This will be monitored as par	IH on 20 week sca	ans alongside na	tional and region	nal data.
Mitigations (what are you do Range of activity to manage lo borough, including working w with women and families and 1.5 Fte (+0.5 additional TBC) i they attend HUFT for antenat	ow uptake of immunisations for women in the ith NHSE, GPs and HUHFT; awareness raising scanning at 20 weeks. mmunisers are now immunising women as al appointments.	Data is being collected by HU This will be monitored as par	IH on 20 week sca	ans alongside na G (Maternity Par	tional and region	and weekly

(alongside flu and childhood imms). As of November 2020 31% of pregnant women have been immunised to date, significantly increased since the previous year, and moving toward target. Work continues and this risk will be reviewed in early 2021 to assess the impact of mitigations.

May 2021: Updated data requested from NHS England covering imms delivered by GPs and HUH, awaiting receipt of data

Ref#:	2	Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention to improve the long term health and wellbeing of local people and address health inequalities	
Date Added:			Deliver proactive community based care closer to home and outside of institutional settings where appropriate	
Date Updated:	29/01/2021		Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system and achieve our financial plans	
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG		Deliver integrated care which meets the physical, mental health and social needs of our diverse communities	✓
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning		Empower patients and residents	
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / Sarah Darcy			

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)			Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Risk that governance processes for joint funded packages of care are still in development which may lead to increased costs for partners. This includes EHCPs, out-of-borough packages and LAC/complex mental health packages	4	3	12	3	3	9

Risk Tolerance (the ICB's appetite in relation to this risk)					
	Target Score	Detail	Total		
Impact	3		6		
Likelihood	2		0		

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)				
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)			
 Transition Case management meeting mechanisms agreed across education, social care and health 	1.Evidence of case review and transition pathway agreed via meeting minutes and flow of cases escalated to Joint 16 Panel			
2. Joint Funding Protocol agreed across health social care and education for high cost / complex cases that require funding from more than one agency that is outside the approval scope of existing panels	2. Protocol is reviewed by the workstream's Strategic Oversight Group and as per each agency's governance structure (submitted in February 2020)			

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Transition Steering Group to review pilot progress in July 2021			29/01/2021	31/07/2021	Sarah Darcy
Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior Responsible	Owner)				
LBH leads have reviewed function of Post 16 Panel and the flow of cases from Transition	Case Managemer	nt Meeting.			
Health contributions to EHCP costs: - agreed with new Head of SEND that process shoul	be streamlined an	d should sit with	in the scope of	the EHCP Panel.	
A monthly papel mosting to pilot the Joint Funding protocol has been established. The f	st caso has hoon s	uccossfully subm	itad to the CCC	for a contributiv	onto a LAC

A monthly panel meeting to pilot the Joint Funding protocol has been established. The first case has been successfully submited to the CCG for a contribution to a LAC residential placement. Although out of scope for funding recommendations, the process for reviewing adults' contributions for 18-25 years SEND plans is being progressed within the pilot. Pilot progress was reviewed by the Transitions Steering Group in January 2021 with a further review in 6 months. To update June 2021.

Ref#:	3
Date Added:	
Date Updated:	29/01/2021

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	

Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / Sarah Darcy

Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	\checkmark
Empower patients and residents	~

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations) Residual Risk Score (post-mitigation		gations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Risk around the speed at which the offer of Personal Budgets across the health, education and social care system is expanded.	3	2	6	3	2	6

Risk Tolerance (the ICB's appetite in relation to this risk)					
	Target Score	Detail	Total		
Impact	3		c		
Likelihood	2		0		

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)			
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)		
To date, the following actions have been undertaken to ensure all children	Quarterly CCG reporting to NHSE and monthly review at Joint Complex Care Panel (JCCP) the		
and young people who require them have personal health budgets	children's continuing care panel.		
1. All continuing care packages have at least a notional personal budget and	All CYP on the continuing care caseload have had at least a notional PHB since April 2018		
some families have direct payments			
2. Children's Social care personal budgets are offered	Short Breaks reporting		
3. Education offer to be clarified	Development plan required		

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
. CCG to review adults PHB strategy to identify opportunitites for CYP roll out	30/07/2020	30/04/2021	S.Darcy
2. NHSE guidance to be sought on whether range of joint funding initiatives can be delivered as PHBs	30/07/2020	30/04/2021	S.Darcy
8. Workstream review of PHB development plans (including health, social care, education and LAC) to be undertaken at a Business Performance and oversight Group (BPOG)	30/07/2020	30/04/2021	S.Darcy
Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior Responsible Owner)			
o date, the following actions have been undertaken to ensure all children and young people who require them have perso	onal health budg	ets	
. All continuing care packages have at least a notional personal budget			
All continuing care packages have at least a notional personal budget 2. Children's Social care personal budgets are offered			

Ref#:	4
Date Added:	
Date Updated:	29/01/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	\checkmark			
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓			
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system				
Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,		\checkmark			
	Empower patients and residents	\checkmark			

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Strategic challenges associated with collaborative working across a number of organisations and a broad spectrum of work areas have a negative impact of strategic CYPMF workstream deliverables. This may include a lack of 'buy in' from partners across the system and partners 'pulling away' from scoped workstream business - potentially leading to a duplication of work or things not being done, risks re budget pooling / aligning, definition of scope, slippage in timescales and reduced quality of services commissioned. Operational challenges associated with collaborative working across a number of organisations and a broad spectrum of work have a negative impact on service operations leading to reduced quality in outcomes for children.		2	4	2	2	4

Risk Tolerance (the ICB's appetite in relation to this risk)				
	Target Score	Detail	Total	
Impact	2		4	
Likelihood	2		4	

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)				
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)			
1. Regular meetings for, and updates to partners on workstream business				
2. Work with the Integrated Commissioning Prog Director and Workstream				
Directors to troubleshoot and share best practice re partnership working				
3. Dedicating time and resource to building strong partnership relationships across the system				
Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)				
Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
A cross workstream workshop on budget pooling is being planned for September		19/08/2019	Sep-19	Amy Wilkinson
Continue to ensure the system wide membership and leadership of the workstream e.g. through the BPOG and SOG			Ongoing	Amy Wilkinson
The CYPMF Workstream is holding a workshop to look at proposals relating to potential pooling arrangements for SLT budgets acrosss the partnership		19/08/2019	Sep-19	Amy Wilkinson
		30/07/2020	Ongiong	Amy Wilkinson
Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior I	Responsible Owner)			
The CYPMF Workstream held a workshop to look at proposals relating to pot	ential pooling arrangements for SLT budgets ac	rosss the partn	ership.	
The workstream is continuing to monitor membership and ensure the goverr	nance is fit for purpose, and pursue integration	opportunities o	on key areas of cl	hallenge
(ie.immuisation, support for children with additonal needs etc).				

Ref#:	5
Date Added:	
Date Updated:	30/04/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / Sarah Darcy

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	✓
	Empower patients and residents	

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)			Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Lack of a robust and integrated system approach to care and provision for CYP with LD and / or autism. Provision is of good quality at points throughout the CYP / family journey but is not a consistent pathway that supports early identification and prevention of escalation of needs.	3	4	12	3	4	12

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)				
	Target Score	Detail	Total	
Impact	3		0	
Likelihood	3		9	

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)				
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)			
Care Education Treatment Review (CETR) processes established across health, social care and education with service leads engagement	CETR register and CETR meeting minutes, minutes of register review meetings with Agency leads (held fortnightly during COVID).			
CAMHS Tier 3.5 proposal submitted to CCG and for discussion with agency leads - intensive support for most at risk CYP with specified interventions from all three agencies	Proposal to be fully reviewed but KPIs demonstrating impact on the CYP, family and all agencies to be included. Intention is for reduction in avoidable inpatient admissions, improved family experience of support, reduction in avoidable Tribunal costs and avoidable residential placements. Investment required for early and sustained interventions across the multidisciplinary team.			
Integrated Discharge Oversight Group established by the Provider Collaborative to improve communication and discharge planning from the point of admission	Commitment from all agencies will be sustained. Tangible outcomes including discharge protocol and agreed notification and referral processes and timeframes. Agencies report improve communication and visibility of Tier 4 cohort.			
CYP Focused autism working group aligned with All Age Autism Alliance strategy	Cross agency work plan with agreed owners and timeframes			
Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)				
Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Continue to promote and provide training for agency services re CETR cohort and processes		29/01/2021	Ongoing	S.Darcy
Autism working group to be convened in Q1		29/01/2021	31/03/2021	S.Darcy

CETR register is established but CCG is not receiving the number of referrals expected during Covid, with the lowered eligibility threshold.

During COVID, services have rag rated their caseloads leading to inter service review of who is in contact with families. Tier 3.5 / Intensive Support Pathway: Following consultation with education and social care, SOG approved pilot initiation. Recruitment will begin with intended service delivery from September 2021 Community mapping exercise of autism and LD services submitted to NHSE January 2021. This will inform NHSE funding / development support priorities.

Ref#:	8
Date Added:	-
Date Updated:	25/03/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
,	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	✓
	Empower patients and residents	

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Risk that low levels of childhood immunisations in the brought may lead to						
outbreaks of preventable disease that can severely impact large numbers of						
the population. Risk exacerbated during further drop in coverage during	5	3	15	5	3	15
COVID pandemic.						

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)				
	Target Score	Detail	Total	
Impact	4		Δ	
Likelihood	1		4	

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)				
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)			
1. Robust governance established across the Partnership with 1) a fortnightly COVID 19 Childhood Imms Task group with PH, CCG, HLT and Interlink members, 2) a C&H monthly steering group that also manages the flu strategy, and 3) a quarterly wider partnership oversight group with NHSE/PHE that will oversee the 2 year childhood imms action plan	Increased childhood imms offer across City and COVID focus was on NE Hackney with signigical not replacing practice delivery of imms. A comprehensive communications campaign.	•		
2. CCG NR investment in childhood immunisations	In addition to the Non Recurrent funding in NE to suport improved childhood imms and flu (ad	•	CG has invested	£800k in 2020
3. Utilise NHSE training, data and shared learning opportunities	Access training webinars when made available; CEG working to develop timely imms activity data at practice level			imms activity
Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?) Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Continue to work with CEG / NHSE regarding improvements in data collectio	n to support timely delivery	29/01/2021	Ongoing	Sarah Darcy

Since the changes in health commissioning in 2013 Health and Social Care Act, responsibility for commissioning and delivery of all immunisations sits across a wide range of partners. There is no statutory commissioning role for the CCG or for local Public Health, although City and Hackney CCG has continually invested in supporting delivery of immunisations in order to tackle our local challenges. Partnership work was developed through the measles outbreak in 2018 and the ongoing non recurrent investment in the GP Confederation has been built on during the pandemic. Over the course of the recent Covid 19 surge residents/patients have not been accessing routine healthcare to usual levels, and this is a double blow to imms uptake given that it was already relatively poor. A 2 year action plan to improve immunisations across the whole life course has been developed, with a number of pilots and interventions. These were set out in a paper to the ICB in June 2020. Key progress includes: 1. Commissioning of GP confederation catch up programme to support primary care ahead of winter 2020 (agreed July 2020) - good plans are in place and this is being taken forward with the GP Confederation.

2. Proposal being devleoped for health visitors to deliver immunisations in children's centres and for key 'at risk groups (ie. families in temp accom)

3. The Back to school communications campaign on childhood immunisations finished on 25 September, and communicaitons are now focusing on flu immunisations. 4. New system governance and delivery structures in place, led by public health

5.Specific interventions for the North of the borough continue to be commissioned and delivered, including Sunday clinics, with new models being explored This risk is part of a broader system risk on immunisations, and there is still work to be done to clarify how responsibility for managing the risk is shared between CYPM, Planned Care and Primary Care Workstreams. A specific report on flu immunisations went to the October ICB. Current uptake of flu vaccinations for 2/3 year olds is 29%, significantly higher than this time last year and a new model of flu vaccinations is being tested from children's centres. Work continues to progress toward the target of 75% coverage.

Update 01/21 - over winter in the 2nd peak imms coverage continues to deteriorate. GPC funding has focused on the flu campaign with the imms badged funding (£100k) to be accrued to 21/22. Progress has been made in developing the future strategy with a focus on call and recall and vaccine hesitancy. NE Hackney PCNs are developing immunisations champions roles and plan to commission an Imms coordinator to ensure this work is prioritised in the context of the Covid vaccine.

Update 25/03/21: The 0.5 wte Imms coordinator funding has been agreed by NHSE/NEL and the post will be recruited to via the lead PCN with start date to be in April. Also agreed 0.5wte NEL resource to be hosted by the same PCN with focus on strengthening call and recall and approach to vaccine hesitancy across NEL. Both posts non-recurrent funding for 12 months. Risk rating remains unchanged.

Ref#:	9
Date Added:	
Date Updated:	16/12/2019
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical, mental health and social needs of our diverse communities	✓
	Empower patients and residents	

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Gap in provision for children who require Independent Healthcare Plans						
(IHP) in early years settings, relating to health conditions such as asthma,	4	4	16	4	1	4
epilepsy and allergies.						

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)						
	Target Score	Detail	Total			
Impact	3		2			
Likelihood	1		5			

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)

	The number of training sessions delivered, the			-
and Hackney have access to training to support them in developing IHP and	the number of practitioners that have attended	d training. An e	evaluation of the	training
managing conditions in their settings. There are four training sessions	sessions delivered will also highlight if knowled	lge and confide	nce in developin	g and
available, including: Introduction to IHP, Management of allergy &	maintaining IHP among practitioners has increa	ased.		
anaphylaxis and administration of rescue medication, Management of				
asthma and use of inhalers and Management of epilepsy and administration				
of rescue medication. The SBH service is working with HLT to promote and				
increase uptake of the training among early years settings.				
To ensure all parents/carers and education and health professionals are	The care pathway will be developed in partners	ship with key st	akeholders that	will be involved
aware of the processes and responsibilities in developing IHP in early years	in developing an IHP at early years settings in C	City and Hackne	y. Therefore the	e pathway
settings, an early years IHP pathway is being drafted, with input from the	should be suitable for all partners. Currently, a	Il of the IHPs a	re based on the i	nformation
CCG, HUHFT community nursing services, public health and HLT. The final	collected by settings, from parents when they	register their ch	nild at a new sett	ing. Collecting
pathway will support settings to ensure they receive the input and support	medical information about a child when they re	egister at a sett	ing is a requirem	ent for all
required, at the right time.	settings. Therefore all settings should have the	initial informat	tion required to s	start the IHP
	process.			
Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)				
Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
The SBH service is planning and booking all training sessions for the 2019/20	academic year, so that the sessions can be	19/08/2019	Sep-19	Kate
promoted in advance. The SBH service is liaising with HLT to promote these			5CP 15	Heneghan (to
the training. In addition the SBH service will be attending EY partnership mee				be
the training. In addition the 3DH service will be attending ET partnership mee	tings to promote the training.			reallocated)
				(allocated)
Public health are drafting a care pathway, based on the processes and inform	nation collected by early years settings when a	19/08/2019	Oct-19	Kate
child registers to attend a setting. Together with the CCG and the Homerton,	, public health will work to identify which			Heneghan (to
health services can best support early years settings developing IHP and at w	which points. Together with HLT and the City of			be
London, all partners will sign off on the process once a final version has been		1		I II . IN
London, an partners will sign on on the process once a final version has been	n agreed.			reallocated)
i condon, an partners win sign on on the process once a final version has been	agreed.			reallocated)

As part of the Independent Healthcare Plan (IHP) work, Public Health, the CCG, Hackney Learning Turst and the Homerton Hospital have set up a partnership approach to identify the small number of children effected and take appropriate steps. Consequently there is no gap in provision and we are maintaining a watching brief to ensure this continues.

0

Ref#:	11
Date Added:	
Date Updated:	25/03/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / Anna Jones

bjective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	
	Empower patients and residents	

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)			Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Health of Looked-After Children: Risk to sustaining service performance during transfer of service to new provider and change to service model	3	3	9	3	2	6

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)						
	Target Score	Detail	Total			
Impact	3		2			
Likelihood	1		3			

Aitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)				
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)			
1. Partnership redesign process completed with engagement of all partners across City and Hackney and agreement of statutory requirements, core principles and aspirations	Transistion of services took place in September 2019, service specification agreed and for review 6 months post process.			
Joint transfer plan and regular meetings with new provider to plan for smooth transfer	Meetings held with providers to review the contract and the performance indicators.			
3. Single integrated performance report agreed for new contract	Quarterly performance report agreed and reports produced forLead commissioner has established a COVID borough-based call for health & social care.2/52 meetings virtually with LBH, CCG and HUHT regardoing current issues inc. IHAs, RHAs staffing and priority LAC. Q3 & 4 2019. Q1 report produced July 2020. Risks during covid 19 that LAC may not receive IHAs/RHAs in the staturory timeframes,			
4. Joint agency contract management arrangements agreed, led by CCG	During covid 19 2 weekly meetings have been implemented with multi-agency LAC service leads, CCG and both LBH and City of london to review service provision and any issues with LAC.			
5. Agreed new service model will commence following 'steady state' delivery of service from September to end of year.				

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner

The service has successfully transferred to the Homerton without incident. We will continue to monitor delivery to ensure no issues arise. During covid 19 HUHT used virtual platforms to undertake iHAs and RHAs which will be followed up f2f when lockdown is implemented. Risk is lack of face to face health assessments for UASC may result in reduced identification of health issues including mental health, immunisation requirements, blood borne diseases and communication challenges around intrepreting service. UCHL ID clinic has reopened in June and social workers able to refer directly. Virtual IHAs undertaken and to be followed up face 2 face .Designated Doctor for LAC has now retired, HUHT have advsertised post. Capacity issues escalated to CCG and HUHT by Designated LAC nurse. HUHT clinicians covering the post for health assessments. GPs informed via CCG GP network. Locum Designated Doctor is now in place since end of July 2020.

Update 29/01: Service review post service transfer was submitted to the CCG in November 2020, resulting in increase to service funding in line with model endorsed by HUHT and partnership. Staffing resource is now sufficient for caseload and enhanced quality requirements of the specification. Risks remain around Doctor staffing for IHAs. There are two IHA streams per clinic, with the remaining 1st lockdown backlog being addressed.

Update 25/03/21 nursing posts x2 recruited. Lockdown IHA backlog being monitored and appointments being offered F2F.

Ref#:	15	Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
Date Added:			Deliver proactive community based care closer to	
Date Updated:	27/01/2021		Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG		Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	√
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anne Canning		Empower patients and residents	
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson			

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)			Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total

There is a risk that Out of Area Looked-After-Children experience longer						
waiting times to access CAMHS and other services, and that those services						
provided may not be of as high a standard as those provided within City &	3	3	12	3	2	6
Hackney						
	3	3	12	3	2	

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)						
	Target Score	Detail	Total			
Impact	3 (ТВС)		6 (TBC)			
Likelihood	2(TBC)		U (TBC)			

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)						
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)					
Clinical service will travel to deliver service where possible.	Ongoing monitoring of each child's care plan by the Independent Reviewing Officer					
For children at a further distance the clinical service will liaise with services local to the child and the Designated Nurse for Looked After Children and Mental Health Commissioner on a case-by-case basis.						
Escalation processes are also available as required.						
Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	1					
Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner		
No actions currently in scope - all of the proposed mitigations are now in plac this risk.	ce and are ongoing to mitigate the impact of	27/01/2021	n/a	Mary Lee		

Arrangements are in place for clinical services to travel in order to meet the needs of LAC where possible. Where children are placed further away the clinical service will liaise with services loca to the child and the Designated Nurse for Looked After Children and Mental Health Commissioner on a case-by-case basis. Negotiations ongoing for a stronger service provision for City of London UESC.

25/11/2020 Risk reduced as HUHT are undertaking OOB placed health assessments

27/01/2021 The risk has been raised nationally at the National Network of Designated professionals fora to be further escalated to NHSE. Locally, City of London UASC are now commissioning services from Coram Baaf. The escalation process continues for LBH IAC.

25/03/2021 The risk remains due to a shortage of T4 beds nationally and increased numbers of referrals to CAMHs services locally and nationally. The Designated Nurse for LAC continues to advocate for OOB children placed who are unable to access CAMHS

Object

Ref#:	16
Date Added:	30/07/2020
Date Updated:	30/04/2021
Review Committee:	CCG HUHT Contracts Meeting
Senior Responsible Owner:	Amy Wilkinson
Senior Management Owner:	Sarah Darcy

tive	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	✓
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	
	Empower patients and residents	

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations) Residual Risk Score (post-mitigation)		gations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Gap in delivery of Tier 2 Audiology service for City and Hackney registered population. Service not restarted following pandemic pause in service delivery. Lack of HUHT community paediatricians to restart delivery of service. Plan to transfer service to Barts needs to be fast tracked and interim service solution identified.	4	3	12	4	3	12

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)							
	Target Score	Detail	Total				
Impact	3		G				
Likelihood	2		0				

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)
Contractual dialogue initiated with Barts and HUHT as to longer term (4-6 month) service transfer as dependent on recruitment of B6 audiologist.	Contract agreement between CCG and Barts (who already provide Tier 3 audiology from the same site - Hackney Ark.
Barts exploration of secondment of audiologist to HUHT to lead delivery of interim service prior to contract agreed	Confirmation of staffing to enable restart of service delivery
Review with HUHT their contractual responsibility to deliver the service	Review of waiting list, triage of cases and risk mitigation

prior to any transfer of service to Barts

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)						
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner			
Ongoing review of risks and workforce planning with HUHT Divisional Leads	29/01/2021	Ongoing	Sarah Darcy			

Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior Responsible Owner)

Risk escalated to risk register and HUHT risk assessment requested 30/07/20. Service restarted in October provided jointly with Bart's, waiting list triaged and being addressed. Joint development of transfer plan for Barts service with start date of 1/4/21. Working group established. Risk not reduced in Q2 as funding risks not identified. Risk escalated by HUHT 01/21 as Tier 2 has again been paused by Barts. Concern about cumulative waiting list as previous backlog not cleared. CCG meeting with Newham CCG as commissioner lead and Barts is planned.

Fortnightly transfer meeting established and detailed transfer plan agreed. Costs including data transfer and equipment are to be agreed. Indicative transfer date of 1/7/21

Ref#:	17	Objectiv	ve Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention
Date Added:	30/07/2020		Deliver proactive community based care closer to
Date Updated:	30/04/2021		Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system
Review Committee:	CCG HUHT Contracts Meeting		Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,
Senior Responsible Owner:	Amy Wilkinson		Empower patients and residents
Senior Management Owner:	Sarah Darcy		

Description	Inherent Risk	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Significant staffing and recruitment issues in the HUHT Community						
Paediatrics service (approx 50% of Doctors)	5	3	15	4	3	12

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)							
	Target Score	Detail	Total				
Impact	3		6				
Likelihood	2		0				

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)

Weekly review of staffing and mitigations between CCG commissioning and	Risk assessment and service plan identify changes to service model and delivery to maintain
HUHT Divisional Lead	continuation of services and communication with referrers regarding changes and alternative
	provision.
Alternative pathways / contingencies considered across the range of	GP request pathway for delivery of Initial Health Assessments in place if required; EHCP
community paediatrics pathways	assessments where CYP already has a diagnosis of autism to be screened by DCO prior to
	booking appt; acute Consultants reviewing opportunities to support community service

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner

Risk escalated to risk register and HUHT risk assessment requested 30/07/20. Interim support secured and workforce strengthened for high risk areas such as LAC. Risk not reduced in quarter as known vacancy issues emerging in December though recruitment planned.

Update 29/01: During 2nd peak staffing concerns continue largely re fragility of LAC IHA Doctor resource (2 clinic streams retained currently) and EHCP clinic should numbers of assessment referrals increase - currently very low but influx may be expected. Due to shortage of paediatricians the role of Named Dr for safeguarding children HUH Community is currently unfilled.

Update 05.21: CCG requested staffing plan and HUHT submitted the report that went to their April Trust Board. The CCG has requested further detail. Progress can be evidenced but risk remains around success of planned recruitment to 5 Consultant posts

Oł

Ref#:	18
Date Added:	26/11/2020
Date Updated:	30/04/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG & MHCC
Senior Responsible Owner:	Greg Condon / Sophie McElroy
Senior Management Owner:	Dan Burningham / Amy Wilkinson

bjective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	\checkmark
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	✓
	Empower patients and residents	✓

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Potentially significant increased demand for CAMHS support througout the impending phases of the pandemic, at specialist and universal level for children and families. As the pandemic has continued, we have seen increased pressure on T4 beds, and increasing crisis and ED presentations, which is also reflected across NEL and London. Many services are seeing a large risk in the number of referrals, particularly Tier 3 CAMHS, Eating	3	4	12	3	5	15
Disorders and Crisis. In addition, specialist CAMHS have raised a risk of staff absence through sick leave due to workload.						

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)				
	Target Score	Detail	Total	
Impact	3		6	
Likelihood	2		0	

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)
CAMHs have responded flexibly to support families during the peak of	
COVID, alongside schools and there are robust contingency plans in place	
for this to continue. This includes solid governance structures, RAG rating	
patients, children and famiies, the introduction of new online support and	
new services in development.	

We are now becoming more concerned about ongoing impacts of th pandemic on adolsecent and CYP mental health, with T4 beds at capacity and increasing presentations. This is being addressed at NEL, with a new crisis group working with the provider collaborative, and an Integrated discharge planning group has been set up to meet fornightly (with C&H, Newham and Tower Hamlets) with reps from health, education and social care to strengthen the community offer. Several new services are supporting families online (Kooth, Helios) and we are developing plans for an integrated T3.5 service.

Through WAMHS we are writing to schools to encourage them to use their linked clinician for consultation so that, where possible, cases can be held through school intervention and referral to range of agencies, making sure referrals to CAMHS are appropriate.

MHST has extended it's offer beyond it's original scope of Wave 1 WAMHS schools, to invite all schools to universal parent support and training groups (primary & secondary), as well as groups for secondary age children. Update 05:21: This risk and mitigation is continuing to be monitored closely and is now also reporting to the Integrated Emotional Heath and Wellbeing

Partnership.

Actions			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Ongoing implementation of contingency planning, continuation of communications and close working with schools	01/02/2021		Greg Condon / Sophie McElroy
This risk is also part of the SOC action plan	01/02/2021	Ongoing	

Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior Responsible Owner)

CAMHs have responded flexibly to supportfamilies during the peak of COVID, alongside schools and there are robust contingency plans in place for this to continue. This includes solid governance structures, RAG rating patients, children and familes, the introduction of new online support and new services in development. We are now becoming more concerned about ongoing impacts of th pandemic on adolsecent and CYP mental health, with T4 beds at capacity and increasing presentations. This is being addressed at NEL, with a new crisis group working with the provider collaborative, and an Integrated discharge planning group has been set up to meet fornightly (with C&H, Newham and Tower Hamlets) with reps from health, education and social care to strengthen the community offer. Several new services are supporting families online (Kooth, Helios) and we are developing plans for an integrated T3.5 service. LBH CAMHS clinical services are re-designing their offer from April 2021. We are currently attempting to establish the impact of this at a system level and any possible associated costs.

Ref#:	19
Date Added:	30/08/2020
Date Updated:	25/03/2021
Review Committee:	CYPMF SOG & MHCC
Senior Responsible Owner:	Anna Jones / Mary Lee
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson / NEL

jective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention	✓
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to	✓
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system	
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,	✓
	Empower patients and residents	✓

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)		Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)			
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total

During Covid-19 a combined NEL Safeguarding and Looked After Children risks register has been in place and reviewed monthly by the designated						
nurses. The NEL key risks relate to reduced face to face contact between						
services, schools and children during the COVID-19 Pandemic, and the						
increased risks to children which result from this. It is nationally anticipated						
that there may be a surge of safeguarding issues identified when COVID-19						
restrictions end and move to business as usual returns. The management	4	4	16	4	3	12
of the 7 risks directly pertaining to City & Hackney is being held at North						
East London level, and each has been given an adjusted scoring which is						
lower, reflecting the mitigations in place an asurances gathered since the re-						
opening of schools. The SOG agreed on 7 December 2020 to reflect this						
position with a summary risk on the register, collectively scored as a 12.						

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)											
	Target Score	Detail	Total								
Impact	4		12								
Likelihood	3		12								

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)											
Proposed Mitigation(s) Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)											
Management and mitigation of this risk is reflected on the NEL Safeguarding Risk Register. These risks are also mitigated in part by the mitigations relating to risks 2,5,11 and 15, (above).											
Detail		Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner							
			Derivery Date	Action Owner							

The CYPMF Strategic Oversight Group (SOG) reviewed the NEL Safeguarding Risk register at its meeting on 7 December. Following the return of children in City & Hackney to school, the NEL Safeguarding group has been able to provide a clearer assessment of the risk to children. The SOG recognised the mitigations and assessment of revised risk scores represented by that group, and agreed to continue to review those risks, keeping them as a summary risk on the the CYPMF register (collectively rated 12), and be informed by the C&H Safeguarding Children's Partnership (of which the Workstream Director and designated nurse for Safeguarding Children are members). It was noted that additionally, these risks are mitigated in part by the actions relating to risks 2,5,11 and 15 on the CYPMF Register. The updated CYP Covid risk register was presented to CH SAG on 29.01.21.

25/03/21

Following the third lockdown the CHSCP have been meeting 3 weekly to highlight any significant themes, patterns and trends identified by all agencies in respect of safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children. Schools are now open again. For us in C&H our greatest concern relates to the large increase in referrals to CAMHS services (risk 19). The overarching NEL risk register is a collective but all boroughs are individually represented.

Ref#:	20
Date Added:	30/04/2021
Date Updated:	
Review Committee:	CYP SOG / CHSCP
Senior Responsible Owner:	Mary Lee
Senior Management Owner:	Amy Wilkinson

Objective	Deliver a shift in resource and focus to prevention					
	Deliver proactive community based care closer to					
	Ensure we maintain financial balance as a system					
	Deliver integrated care which meets the physical,					
	Empower patients and residents					

scription	Inherent Risk S	core (<i>pre-mitige</i>	ations)	Residual Risk S	core (post-mitig	gations)
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total

Loss of child protection information sharing (CPIS) data due to cyber -attack						
in Hackney council. This means that information regarding children, young						
people and unborn who are the subject of a child protection plan or are LAC	3	4	12	3	2	6
may not be available to clinicians to inform assessment at unscheduled care						
appointments.						

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)										
	Target Score	Detail	Total							
Impact										
Likelihood										

Aitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)											
Proposed Mitigation(s)	Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)										
All providers have issued detailed guidance to staff relating to clinical presentation and absence of CPIS data											
Safeguarding alerts are added to children and pregnant women's records as appropriate (information shared between LB Hackney and hospital safeguarding teams)											
Detailed audits are planned (May 2021) to monitor mitigations for efficacy											
Report and assurance provided to NHSE/I and NHS Digital national CPIS board April 2021 and update to board with audit findings planned for May 2021											

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner

	Integrated Commissioning Board managed risks											
Ref# PCTBC1	COVID/BAU	Description Changes to services (e.g. services being moved out of area / hot-cold site changes, virtual consultations) have an impact on vulnerable residents and / or negatively impact those already most at-risk from the covid-19 pandemic. Vulnerable patient is defined as a patient who needs regular health input from primary care, who may struggle to access this due to COVID-19 service changes, for example, a patient with a long term condition who is having issues with managing it or a patient with a learning disability.	Inherent Risk Score	G Risk Tolerance	12/02:02 2D	12/02 02 ED	12/00 PD	Same	Monthly progress update Note of any optimization of a set of a se			
PCTBC2	COVID	High number of outstanding CHC assessments as a result of the impact of Covid-19.	12	9	x x	: 15	12	Reducing	There are 105 individuals on the Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hospital between the 19 March and 31 August that the team identified as likely requireng a CHC assessment. The deadline for these cases was supposed to be the end of March, however, we had 14 patients still awaiting a CHC assessment at year end. As of the A4 May we have 3 cases that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical information from the acute team; however, the other two have been completed and will be sent to the CSU for ratification. For individuals discharged during quarter 4 2020-21, 88% (22/25) of assessments were assessed within the 28-day timeframe. For individuals discharged during quarter 4 2020-21, 88% (22/25) of assessments were assessed within the 28-day timeframe.			
PC6	COVID	Impact of COVID on access to local cancer services	20	9	6 2	0 16	10	Same	National message: "Cancer services remain an absolute priority for the NHS. Our key aims are to: • initiation of present to primary care for referral • Diagnostics capacity will be available • Diagnostics capacity will be available GP referrals maintaining pre COVID Evels. Lung clinic referrals low due to similarity to COVID symptoms. GPs being made aware to be vigilant. Local providers meeting 2ww appointment availability Hometron diagnostics capacity available now for all imaging, endoscopy requirements. Still a focus on A & G, FIT and other triage to ensure prioritization of referrals.			
PCTBCS	COVID	Acute Alliance Elective Restart Programme - Restore full operation of all cancer services. - Recover the maximum elective activity possible between now and winter	20	9	× ×	: ×	15	Same	No significant changes. Hospital based elective services continue to be reopened. Independent sector still needed to bridge short fall. We are able to keep cancer services running in all areas. Independent sector capacity is still supporting cancer diagnostics and surgery for north east London. Capacity will continue to be effected by COVID for sometime and back log for NEL is being evaluated in operating plan submissions.			
PC14	COVID	Increase in mortality for residents with a learning disability as a result of COVID (increase in Learning Disabilities Mortality Review (LeDeR) Programme reporting)	20	9	x x	: x	×	Same	The Integrated Learning Disability Service is proactively following up with patients on it's caseload to conduct weffare checks. For patients not on the service caseload, Primary Care are conducting annual health checks. Alck stargets have been hit and work is orgoing to reterate the himpotance of these. Vaccinations programme in place for patients with. In U. Du cancination group setu to support with more complex clinits and vaccinations. Resources have been developed and promoted by the council and CCG. Ongoing monitoring of LeDef reporting and a thematc review was recently undertaken to explore key action areas. The risk will need to be reviewed again following the covid easements.			
PC15	COVID	Risk of COVID outbreaks at care homes and commissioned placements for residents with a learning disability	16	9	××	×	12	Same	Vaccinations have been rolled out to care homes and Supported Ming three has been areasonable uptake. This and the new testing procedures help lower the risk of outbreak. Those who have not yet accepted the offer of the vaccine still needs to be explored and work to promote uptake is ongoing. Standard Operating Procedures in place to address outbreaks. Regular testing in place. Still awaiting Restore2 mini training from NHSE 9 /			

PC16	COVID	Medium to long term health impact of Covid and Covid related suspension of usual care on people with Long Term Conditions. This may be due to failure to present to health care settings; reduction proactive monitoring and care or fifficulty in accessing services due to restrictions. Likely to have a significant adverse impact on especially vulnerable groups including theor in deprived socio-economic groups, people with LD and people from BAME backgrounds. This may become a "rising tide" of people with worsening health outcomes and complications of diseases such as diabetes.	16	9	× ×	: x	x	New risk	Ongoing monitoring in place to support planning for medium-long term. Development of data models will be scheduled for later in the year to understand the quantitative impact. Engagement and Listening Events also planned to be scheduled for later in the year to gain a qualitative understanding of local need. Review of UT C contract (or 21/22) in pleinte to address fallout from COVID, particularly for vulnerable groups. This will also focus on UT recovery and how to manage the situation post-COVID. Business case presented to FPC in March 2021 for address allout formed and the practice score their LT canagement programme as well as additional Plumonary relates. New tool developed to search for most at risk groups for practices to focus on. Exploring options for engagement activities and group consultations with specific patient cohorts later in the year. Full impact of pandemic on these groups is yet to be established.	16	/				
PC17	COVID	Impact of COVID on the health of the rough sleepers and asylum seeker populations	20	9	20 12	2 x	x	Decreasing	Rough Sleeper and Health Partnership Group in place to oversee response. EUT Outreach Service providing outreach clinics to accommodation housing both rough sleepers and asylum seekers. Proactive outreach being undertaken by LAs to ensure rough sleepers are offered accommodation. Working group has been set up to manage the rollout of vaccines to these two groups. Plan for a mixed model of vaccination centres with support and an outreach model. All asylum seekers have been registred at Hoston/Greenhouse. Regular fortnightly meetings are in place with all stakeholders to discuss asylum seeker needs and how to respond hest to them. Current roll out of covid vaccinations at both the Homeless and Asylum Seeker hotels w/c 15.02.21 by the ExCel Vaccination team. 76 HL residents vaccinated (37% uptake) and 105 AS vaccinated (47% uptake). Plans in place for a second mop up visit in mid april. Second dosage plans still in discussion.	16	/				
PC18	COVID	Level of uptake of COVID vaccinations for health and social care staff	12	9	××	×	×	New risk	Requests sent out to providers and partners to submit staff lists for issuing invites. All staff submitted up to 15 th February have received invites. Early delays in processing lists have been resolved. There are, however, still issues with reporting on who has been invited and who has received the vaccine. HUH are working on reporting to meet national requirements. Project is reporting progress to SOC and Health Protection Board.	9	/				
PC7	BAU	NSS- United stock availability of some videly priscibled generics significantly drove up costs of otherwise low cost drogs. The price concession made by DN to help manage stock availability of affected products, were charged to CCGs- this arrangement (learned to a NLSO) presents CAR ACCG with an additional cost pressure. As a result of EV eait, three is risk of transport delay of medicines (which could late the limited stock availability of medicines (which could further drive up the cost of commonly prescribed drugs).	20	9	20 20	0 20	20	Same	The HISh has put measures in place to help ensure stocks continue to be available even if there are transport delays. The stock measures in place to help ensure stocks continue to be available even if there are transport delays. The stock measures that medicines should not be stock pled, the MMT has already shared the message regarding appropriate prescribing and ordering of medicines to prescribers and patients (through Healthwatch	20			/		
PC8	BAU	There are significant financial pressures in the Adult Learning Disability service which require a sustainable solution from system partners	20	9	20 20	0 20	20	Same	Although there was a huge reduction in the overall overspend, ILDS was >£2millon overspent last financial year. Work is ongoing to get a clearer picture of the budget and ensure consistency of some costs e.g. interrogation of day service costs and sign up to a SLS Framework. Overspend was in parts as a result of extra support needs around covid (e.g. increased 11 support) which is likely to continue with the urrent Pandemic; t's highly unlikely that savings could be made. Furthermore the LBH cyberattack has meant preparatory and preventative work has been negatively impacted and many costs reain unclear. This is a new financial year so although the overspend is currently not an issue it is a likely risk for this year.	20			/		
PC13	BAU	No long term funding is secured for the Housing First programme and there is a risk that the service will finish at the end of the year 1 pilot	5	9	20 20	0 20	20	Reducing	Funding for Years 2 and 3 of the service has been agreed by partner organisations. Working group to be developed to focus on enchanced outcomes monitoring-building on the original proposal.	5			/	/	
PC19	BAU	Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack on local Planned Care Services	20	9	x x	×	×	Same	Services that use Hackney Council IT infrastructure have had ongoing issues caused by October's Cyber-Attack. This has impacted a range of services and has caused issues with access to the social care client database. Regular risk reporting to senior figures within the council is ongoing. A Project Group has been set up and is exploring devlopment of an alternative system. It is thought that some information may be able to be recovered.	9					
PC20	BAU	Challenges to system finances impacting on development of services critical to recovery	6	9	9 x	: ×	x	Same	Specialist Weight Management - seen as key to supporting high risk patients with obesity in the community. Finance issues relating to ongoing funding in 21/22 are delaying mobilisation of the service. Request to finance to update on SVM service funding. PCN pilot - The PCN Pilot has now been incorporated into the Neighbourhood programme and funding is now fully covered and is no longer a risk. Gynae community service expansion funding issue may be resolved and HUH confirmation is awaited. Other initiatives- Transformation funding for the acne pathway service and methotrexate service are yet to be finalised but may be an issue for 21/22.	6			/		
PC21	BAU	No decision has been made by government about the continuation of discharge to assess funding from April 2021, owneds. System should therefore assume that individuals discharged from hospital from 1 April 2021 owneds who require care and support will need to be funded from locally agreed funding arrangements which will have an impact on CGC Continuing Healthcare, and Adust cocid care badgets. Without a clear process, this could have a detrimental impact on hospital discharge.	20	8	××	: ×	x	Reducing	The Government confirmed that there will be central funding to support discharge to assess; this will be up to 6 weeks of care during quarter 1 and up to 4 weeks during quarter 2. This risk is therefore delayed at this time.	6		x	x		

Ref#:	PCTBC1		Objective	Improve the			/
Date Added:	May 21				ng System Develo	opment	
Date Updated: Review Committee:	May-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro	un .		Integrated Co CCG Governa			
Senior Responsible Owner:	Jayne Taylor			Primary Care			/
Senior Management Owner:	Charlotte Painter				ealth Economy		
Description		Inherent Risl	Score (pre-miti	ignations)	Residual Risk	Score (post-mitigatio	ns)
Description		Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Changes to services (e.g. service	es being moved out of area / hot-cold	d site 3	4	12	3	3	9
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	tite in relation to this risk)		_	_			
hisk tolerance (the cco s uppe	Target Score	Detail					Total
Impact	3						9
Likelihood	3						5
Mitigations (what are you doin	a to address this risk?)						
Proposed Mitigation(s)	g to dddress this risk.y	Assurances &	& Evidence (how	will you know th	at your mitigatio	ons are working?)	
	f vulnerable patients by primary care				ack reports on us	e	
Process of review and active case	se management - primary care and	Data capture	and reporting th	nrough CEG			
Action(s) (how are you plannin	g on achieving the proposed mitigat	ions?)					
Detail					Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Development of tool for identify Agree cohorts and process for v	ying vulnerable patients requiring act	tive management			May-21	Complete	CP
° 1	ulnerable patient reviews ance 2020/21 to identify prioroty are	pas for 2021/22			May-21 May-21	Spring 21 Spring 21	СР
eview of Life contract perform	ance 2020/21 to identify prioroty are	203 101 2021/22			ividy-21	John link ST	Ur
Aonthly progress update (gare	eed by Senior Management Owner 8	Senior Responsible Ov	vner)				
Ref#:	PC6		Objective	Improve the I	nealth of our pati	ients	/
Date Added:			Objective	Commissioni	ng System Develo		/
Date Added: Date Updated:	Feb-21		Objective	Commissionin Integrated Co	ng System Develo mmissioning		
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro		Objective	Commissionii Integrated Co CCG Governa	ng System Develo mmissioning nce		
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Renior Responsible Owner:	Feb-21		Objective	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care	ng System Develo mmissioning nce		
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: ienior Responsible Owner: ienior Management Owner:	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper			Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy	opment	
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper		Objective Score (pre-mitij Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy		/
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley	Inherent Risk	Score (pre-mitig	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He igations)	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S	opment	
Date Added: Date Updated: Leview Committee: Jenior Responsible Owner: Jenior Management Owner: Description	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley	Inherent Risl Impact	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Management Owner:	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley	Inherent Risl Impact	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total
Date Added: Date Updated: Leview Committee: ienior Responsible Owner: ienior Management Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley cal cancer services	Inherent Risl Impact 5	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total 12
ate Added: ate Updated: eview Committee: enior Responsible Owner: enior Management Owner: rescription mpact of COVID on access to logistic isk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley coal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score	Inherent Risl Impact	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total 12 Total
ate Added: ate Updated: eview Committee: enior Responsible Owner: enior Management Owner: escription npact of COVID on access to lo isk Tolerance (the CCG's appe npact	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley cal cancer services	Inherent Risl Impact 5	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total 12
ate Added: ate Updated: eview Committee: enior Responsible Owner: enior Management Owner: escription mpact of COVID on access to lo isk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley cal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3	Inherent Risl Impact 5	c Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive He gations) Total	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ppment Score (<i>post-mitigatio</i> Likelihood	Total 12 Total
Date Added: Date Updated: Leview Committee: enior Responsible Owner: isenior Management Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo lisk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact ikelihood Altigations (what are you doin	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley cal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Inherent Risk Impact 5 Detail	<pre>< Score (pre-mitij Likelihood 4</pre>	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Hi gations) Total 20	ng System Develcymmissioning mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4	Score (post-mitigatio	Total 12 Total
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: ienior Responsible Owner: ienior Management Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact ikelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley ocal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk?)	Inherent Risk Impact 5 Detail Assurances 8	k Score (pre-miti Likelihood 4	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Hi gotions) Total 20	ng System Develcymmissioning mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 ms are working?)	Total 12 Total
bate Added: Date Updated: leview Committee: lenior Responsible Owner: lenior Management Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo lisk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin rroposed Mitigation(s) Aessages to GPs about service	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley ocal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk?)	Inherent Risl Impact 5 Detail Assurances 6 Utilisation of	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 ms are working?)	Total 12 Total 9
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Messages to GPs about service Planning with Providers to mitig	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Slobhan Harper River Calveley dcal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk?) changes and right pathways gate impact and utilise service capacit	Inherent Risl Impact 5 5 Detail Assurances & Utilisation of ty Weekly meet	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 ans are working?)	Total 12 Total 9
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Messages to GPs about service Planning with Providers to mitig	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley ical cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk? changes and right pathways	Inherent Risl Impact 5 5 Detail Assurances & Utilisation of ty Weekly meet	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 ans are working?)	Total 12 Total 9
Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to lo Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact Likelihood Witigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Messages to GPs about service Planning with Providers to mitig Action(s) (how are you plannin, Detail	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Slobhan Harper River Calveley dcal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk?) changes and right pathways gate impact and utilise service capacit	Inherent Risl Impact 5 5 Detail Assurances & Utilisation of ty Weekly meet	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develcymmissioning mmissioning ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4 at your mitigatia GPs, Comms Circl of independent s	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 uns are working?) Lulated sector and capacity w	Total 12 Total 9 Total
Date Added: Date Updated: Leview Committee: Jenior Responsible Owner: Description mpact of COVID on access to low Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appernition) Risk T	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Siobhan Harper River Calveley cal cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk? changes and right pathways rate impact and utilise service capacit g on achieving the proposed mitigate	Inherent Risl Impact 5 5 Detail Assurances & Utilisation of ty Weekly meet	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4 at your mitigatio GPs, Comms Circo of independent s	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 uns are working?) zulated sector and capacity w	Total 12 Total 9 Total RC RC
hate Added: hate Updated: leview Committee: enior Responsible Owner: enior Management Owner: Hescription mpact of COVID on access to loc lisk Tolerance (the CCG's appe mpact likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin roposed Mitigation(s) Messages to GPs about service lanning with Providers to mitig lation(s) (how are you plannin, letail Monthly NEL Cancer Delivery Gi	Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Gro Slobhan Harper River Calveley ical cancer services tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 g to address this risk?) changes and right pathways gate impact and utilise service capacit g on achieving the proposed mitigate roup to address Cancer Key Areas L partners to discuss performance	Inherent Risl Impact 5 5 Detail Assurances & Utilisation of ty Weekly meet	x Score (pre-mitili Likelihood 4 4 2 2 4 Evidence (how correct pathway	Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governa Primary Care Productive Ho Total 20 700 20 will you know th rs, feedback from	ng System Develo mmissioning nce ealth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4 4 at your mitigatio GPs, Comms Circ of independent s Last updated 19.03.21	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood 3 ans are working?) culated sector and capacity w Delivery Date Ongoing	Total 12 Total 9 ithin the system Action Owner RC

-	ces remain an absolute priority for the NHS.						
The impact of COVID-19 will impa	act services causing delays in referrals, diagno	osis and national	ly mandated ta	rgets.			
Our key aims are to:							
	present to primary care for referral						
 Ensure our providers have Fast Diagnostics capacity will be available 							
Homoston 40	viene						
Homerton - open for all 2ww serv Diagnostics:	vices						
Radiology - X-Ray urgent patients							
GPs asked to consider local pathways before referring for USS and MRI DEXA has been temporarily suspended-patients already referred will have their appointments rebooked							
Imaging for suspected cancer cor		appointments	LEDOOKED				
Duty radiology available on bleep	341 before 5pm on weekdays						
Out of Hours input available via H	on Switchboard- if urgent						
 Direct access endoscopy servic 	es have restarted on the 15th March at HUH.	Use of FIT and	A & G still impo	rtant for GPs to p	prioritise patients	5.	
GP referrals are now at pre COVI	D levels for most cancers - Lung has been low	v but now are inc	reasing.				
			0				
		-					
Ref#: Date Added:	PCTBC2	-	Objective			s health inequalities	
Date Added:	Sep-20			Community ca	are close to hom	e	/
Date Updated:	04/05/2021	-			em financial bala		/
Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	-		¥	ated care which ients and resider	meets physical and internets	menta /
Senior Management Owner:	Cindy Fischer]		- power pau			
Description		Inhanant Diak C		entional	Desidual Disk	Coove (nest mitigatio	mal
Description		Inherent Risk S Impact	core (pre-mitig Likelihood	Total	Impact	Score (post-mitigation Likelihood	Total
High number of outstanding CHC	assessments as a result of the impact of	4	5	12	4	2	6
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appeti	ite in relation to this risk)						
	Target Score	Detail					Total
Impact	3						
	5						9
Likelihood	3						
Mitigations (what are you doing	to address this risk?)						
Mitigations (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s)	to address this risk?)	Assurances & E	vidence (how	vill you know th	at your mitigatic	ons are working?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £	269K to recruit staff to support completion	Staff in post			at your mitigatic	ons are working?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £					at your mitigatic	ons are working?)	
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning	269K to recruit staff to support completion	Staff in post					
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	Staff in post			Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	Staff in post					Action Owner Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton	Staff in post			Last updated Mar-21	Delivery Date 31/03/2021	Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton	Staff in post			Last updated Mar-21	Delivery Date 31/03/2021	Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitoring Monthly progress update (agreed	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R	Staff in post Monthly financ	e reports from	LBH/CSU	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitoring Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th	e reports from er) e 19 March and	LBH/CSU 31 August that t	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein,	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the The deadline for these cases was	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however,	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from erp er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti	LBH/CSU 31 August that t ng a CHC assessr	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the The deadline for these cases was	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from erp er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti	LBH/CSU 31 August that t ng a CHC assessr	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin,	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	LBH/CSU 31 August that i ng a CHC assessr	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have be	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein, .	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the The deadline for these cases was	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however,	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from erp er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti	LBH/CSU 31 August that i ng a CHC assessr	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein, .	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Ho Work with partners to monitoring Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the ' The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ad by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	LBH/CSU	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have be mealth of our pat	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin,	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that i ag a CHC assessr however the o Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat ag System Develo mmissioning	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Ho Work with partners to monitoring Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the ' The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ad by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	LBH/CSU	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat ag System Develo mmissioning	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that 1 ag a CHC assessr bowever the o Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governar	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat ag System Develo mmissioning	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that 1 ag a CHC assessr bowever the o Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governar	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat ag System Develo mmissioning	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that 1 ag a CHC assessr bowever the of Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governar	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat ag System Develo mmissioning	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin, Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that 1 ag a CHC assessr bowever. the o Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governar Primary Care	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat system Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £. From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team	IBH/CSU 31 August that 1 ag a CHC assessr bowever the of Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Co CCG Governar	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat system Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment.
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin, Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	Staff in post Monthly financ Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective	LBH/CSU I 31 August that ' a GHC assessor b owever the o Commissionin Integrated CO CCG Governar Primary Care Productive He	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifiment at year end ther two have be nealth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin, Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the' The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Description	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper River Calveley	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati oformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSIL for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the 3 The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper River Calveley	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati aformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective	IBH/CSU	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he mealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Spment	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. ill he sent to the CSU for / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin, Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the' The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Description	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) pmerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior IA Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Siobhan Harper ite in relation to this risk)	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati aformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the' The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Acute Alliance Elective Restart Pr Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetit	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) omerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Siobhan Harper is kiver Calveley River Calveley ogramme te in relation to this risk) Target Score	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati oformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & HG Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Acute Alliance Elective Restart Pr	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) pmerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior IA Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Siobhan Harper ite in relation to this risk)	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati aformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & HC Work with partners to monitorin Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Acute Alliance Elective Restart Pr Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetit Impact Likelihood	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) immerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ad by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Siobhan Harper in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati aformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Proposed Mitigation(s) We received central funding of £ From 1 September 2020 CHC ass Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Recruitment of staff by LBH & Hc Work with partners to monitorin, Monthly progress update (agree There are 105 individuals on the: The deadline for these cases was As of the 4 May we have 3 cases. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Acute Alliance Elective Restart Pr Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetit Impact	269K to recruit staff to support completion essments resumed as business as usual. on achieving the proposed mitigations?) immerton g progress via sitreps and financial reporting ad by Senior Management Owner & Senior R Scheme 1 list who were discharged from hos is supposed to be the end of March; however, that are outstanding: 1 is awaiting medical in PCTBC5 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Siobhan Harper in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Staff in post Monthly finance Responsible Own pital between th we had 14 pati aformation from	e reports from er) e 19 March and ents still awaiti the acute team Objective Objective core (pre-mitig Likelihood	IBH/CSU IBH/CSU IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Last updated Mar-21 Mar-21 the team identifi ment at year end ther two have he malth of our pat ag System Develor mmissioning nce	Delivery Date 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 31/03/2021 ed as likely requirein eed as likely requirein een completed and w ients ppment Score (post-mitigatia Likelihood	Cindy Fischer Cindy Fischer g a CHC assessment. iill be sent to the CSU for / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /

GP Comms		GP behaviour,	use of pathway	s			
Use of independent sector		Reporting					
Recovery planning and reporting	g on this	Feedback and	Reporting from	Homerton			
Action(s) (how are you planning	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)						
Detail					Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Fortnightly Elective Recovery M Activity Reports from the Home					19.03.20 19.03.21	Ongoing Ongoing	RC RC
	es to discuss utilisation of the independent s	ector			19.03.22	Ongoing	RC
Comms to GPs on pathways and	alternatives for example FIT, A&G, etc				19.03.23	Ongoing	RC
Monthly progress update (agree	ed by Senior Management Owner & Senior	Responsible Own	ner)				
0 I	are now advanced in the reopening of hosp	ital based elective	e services and t	here will be less re	eliance on the ir	dependent sector go	ing forwards.
We are able to keep cancer serv Independent sector capacity is a	rices running in all areas. till supporting cancer diagnostics and surger	v for north east I	ondon				
In summary:	supporting tanter diagnostics and surger	y for north east L	onuon.				
London Independent (located	near the Royal London Hospital) is our cance	er surgery hub. Th	nis will be the lo	cation for the follo	wing: colorecta	l, spinal and gynae. T	eams are all working
together collaboratively.	ent en ten en eiter in du die eite beween. Ge	the London Foot	Calica Usatawa a			- 141	
 Other outer London independ complex cancer surgical treatme 	ent sector capacity, including Holly house, Sp ents	bire London East,	Spire Hartswoo	d, the Treatment o	centre and inne	alth will deliver cance	er diagnostics, and non-
	at The London clinic: complex gynae, HPB, in	terventional radio	ology, complex	colorectal.			
-	able to undertake complex breast surgery.						
	be complex breast surgery as well as nuclear		ntoined day our	ann ann aite			
	e at St Barts for Lung cancer surgery, and Ho to prioritise cancer diagnostics, including er			gery capacity.			
-	hin the Independent Sector to minimise dela			er.			
Outer London independent sect	or sites are being used to maintain cancer di	, , ,	0		may be asked to	o attend these indepe	endent sector sites for
diagnostics.							
Ref#:	PC14		Objective	Improve the he			/
Date Added:	Feb-21	4		Commissioning		opment	
Date Updated:	Feb-21	_		Integrated Con			
Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	_		CCG Governan Primary Care	ce		
Senior Management Owner:	Penny Heron			Productive Hea	alth Economy		
	• •						
Description		Indexes Disks	C		Desident Disk	C	
Description		Impact	Score (pre-mitig		1	Score (post-mitigatio Likelihood	Total
				Total	limpact		
Increase in mortality for residen	ts with a learning disability as a result of	5	Likelihood 4	Total 20	Impact 4	3	12
Increase in mortality for residen Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	tite in relation to this risk)	5					12
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe							12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score	5					12
Risk Tolerance <i>(the CCG's apper</i> Impact Likelihood	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3	5					12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3	Detail	4	20	4	3	12 Total
Risk Tolerance <i>(the CCG's appe</i> Impact	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4	20 will you know tha	4	3	12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	12 Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Detail Assurances &	4 Evidence (how	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin, Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) Illow-up	Assurances & Primary Care a	4 Evidence (how and ILDS Service	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin, Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo	Itite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) Illow-up	Detail Assurances & Primary Care a	4 Evidence (how and ILDS Service	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo Vaccine offer and support to tal Infection control and self-care re	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up te it up esources for patients and their carers	Assurances & Primary Care a	4 Evidence (how and ILDS Service	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo Welfare checks and proactive for Vaccine offer and support to tak Infection control and self-care m Action(s) (how are you planning	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) Illow-up	Assurances & Primary Care a	4 Evidence (how and ILDS Service	20 will you know tha	4	3	Total
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo Vaccine offer and support to tak Infection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up te it up esources for patients and their carers	Assurances & Primary Care a	4 Evidence (how and ILDS Service	20 will you know tha	4	3	12 Total 9
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up te it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and	Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Vaccine Report Reporting of ar I/or are extremel	4 Evidence (how ILDS Service ting ting titions y clinically vulne	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4)	4 It your mitigation Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	3 ons are working?) Delivery Date Complete Feb-21	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Lifection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Vaccinations for patients with a	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up se it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other national	Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Vaccine Report Reporting of ar	4 Evidence (how ILDS Service ting ting titions y clinically vulne	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4)	4 It your mitigation feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	3 ons are working?) Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH RB/PH/CP
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo Welfare checks and proactive for Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up see it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria ance ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of a second se	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Vaccine Repor Reporting of ar Vor are extremely al vaccination price on their caseload	4 Evidence (how ILDS Service ting ting titions y clinically vulne	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4)	4 t your mitigation t your mit	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH RB/PH/CP PH
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive fo Welfare checks and proactive fo Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Sc Primary Care welfare checks for	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nationa ryclee proactive welfare checks for patients compatients of the proposed mitigation of or patients with a learning disability and not or	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Vaccine Repor Reporting of ar Vor are extremely al vaccination price on their caseload	4 Evidence (how ILDS Service ting ting titions y clinically vulne	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4)	4 It your mitigation feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	3 ons are working?) Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH RB/PH/CP
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Infection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDER Re	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) silow-up se it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) upatients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rvice proactive welfare checks for patients c patients with a learning disability and not or eporting	Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination price in their caseload	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ctions y clinically vulne pritisation group	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4)	4 t your mitigatie t your mitigatie Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing	12 Тоtal 9 Асtion Owner РН RB/PH RB/PH RB/PH RB/PH CP/AG
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Re	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) silow-up see it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or eporting end by Senior Management Owner & Senior	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a Vaccine Report Reporting of a d/or are extremely al vaccination price n their caseload hLDS caseload Responsible Own	4 Evidence (how ILDS Service ting ctions y clinically vulne pritisation group ner)	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25	t your mitigatie	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH RB/PH/CP PH CP/AG PH
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Vaccine offer and support to tal Infection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Untegrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR R Monthly progress update (agre	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up see it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and tearning disability who fall into other nations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive mediate checks for patients ervice proactive mediate checks ervice proactive mediate checks ervice proac	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a Vaccine Repor Reporting of ar Vior are extremely al vaccination pric on their caseload HDS caseload Responsible Own actively following	4 Evidence (how ind ILDS Service ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u up with patien	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload	t your mitigatie	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Tare checks. For patie	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH RB/PH PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH nts not on the service
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action(s) (the appendix of the second seco	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up et it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) upatients with a learning disability learning disability who fall into other nationa rycice proactive welfare checks for patients care and patients with a learning disability and not or eporting ted by Senior Management Owner & Senior Integrated Learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for lucting checks.	Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a Vaccine Report Reporting of ar Vaccination pric n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own actively following dentifying patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ctions y clinically vulne pritisation group ner) u p with patien t via CEG search	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4). 55 ts on it's caseload es and protocol fo	Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 or what to discu	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH CP/AG PH CP/AG PH nts not on the service a they are contacted.
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Untegrated Learning Disability 56 Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR R Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are conc Vaccinations being offered to pa	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up see it up esources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and tearning disability who fall into other nations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive welfare checks for patients of the rations ervice proactive mediate checks for patients ervice proactive mediate checks ervice proactive mediate checks ervice proac	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Ro Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are concy Vaccinations being offered to pa groups ahead to receive their vac	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH CP/AG PH NH PH PH CP/AG PH Network PH PH
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Infection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Untegrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR R Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are conc	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Infection control and self-care re Action(s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Re Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are concy Vaccinations being offered to pa groups ahead to receive their vac	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Ro Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are conce Vaccinations being offered to pa groups ahead to receive their vac	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Ro Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are concy Vaccinations being offered to pa groups ahead to receive their vac	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your mitigation t your mitigation feb-21 Fe	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s) Welfare checks and proactive for Welfare checks and proactive for Action (s) (how are you planning Detail Circulating support resources to Vaccinations for patients with a Integrated Learning Disability Se Primary Care welfare checks for Ongoing monitoring of LeDeR Ro Monthly progress update (agre To mitigate COVID's impact, the caseload, Primary Care are concy Vaccinations being offered to pa groups ahead to receive their vac	tite in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3 3 g to address this risk?) illow-up illow-up illow-up a sources for patients and their carers g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) patients with a learning disability learning disability who meet age criteria and learning disability who fall into other nations rrvice proactive welfare checks for patients of patients of the learning disability and not or patients with a learning disability service is pro- patients with a learning Disability Service is pro- lucting checks. GPs have clear guidance for in tients with LD- who are extremely clinical vu teccine. Resources have been promoted by th	S Detail Detail Assurances & Primary Care a Primary Care a I/or are extremel al vaccination priv n their caseload ILDS caseload Responsible Own dentifying patient ulnerable. Patient	4 Evidence (how Ind ILDS Service ting ting ctions y clinically vulne oritisation group ner) u with patien t via CEG search is who are not e	20 will you know that Reporting erable (group 1-4) 25 ts on it's caseload es and protocol for xtremely clinically	4 t your mitigation t your your your your your your your your	3 Delivery Date Complete Feb-21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing	12 Total 9 Action Owner PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH RB/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH Rb/PH/CP PH cp/AG PH nts not on the service they are contacted. eed to wait for the

Date Added:	Feb-21			Commissioning			
-		-		<u> </u>			
Date Updated:	Feb-21	-		Integrated Con			
Review Committee:	Planned Care Core Leadership Group	-		CCG Governan	ce		
Senior Responsible Owner:	Siobhan Harper			Primary Care			
Senior Management Owner:	Penny Heron			Productive Hea	alth Economy		
Description			Score (pre-mitig			core (post-mitigatio	
		Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
Risk of COVID outbreaks at care	homes and commissioned placements for	4	4	16	3	3	9
Dish Talanana (the CCC) and	the in metantics as while state)						
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appet	Target Score	Detail					Total
Impact	3	Detail					
Likelihood	3						9
	ÿ						
Mitigations (what are you doing	to address this risk?)						
Proposed Mitigation(s)		Assurances &	Evidence (how w	vill you know the	ıt your mitigatio	ns are working?)	
Vaccinations for Staff and Reside		Activity report					
	Training and SOPs for Care Homes and			tbreaks and imp			
Support Resources for patients,	staff and carers	Action reportin	ng- people have	received the reso	ources, understa	nd it and can implem	ent it
	on achieving the proposed mitigations?)					Dellar Del	
Detail	training for Staff -t Corrolling				Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Infection Protection and Control		authroaks				Complete	PH
	at Care Homes to manage IPC and potential	JULDIEGKS			+	Complete	PH PH
Share winter planning handbook Restore2Mini training for staff					1	Complete Spring 21	PH NEL
Vaccinate Staff and Residents					1	Spring 21 Spring 21	RB/PH/CP
vaccinate stall and Residents					1	Shime 51	NU/FN/CP
provision. Standard Operating P	rocedures in place to address outbreaks. Wir						
provision. Standard Operating P							
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini.				with patients an		ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini.	rocedures in place to address outbreaks. Wir		Idobooks shared	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie	ewing options for furt	
Vaccinations being provided to S provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini.	rocedures in place to address outbreaks. Wir		Idobooks shared	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini.	rocedures in place to address outbreaks. Wir		Idobooks shared	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#:	rocedures in place to address outbreaks. Wir		Idobooks shared	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated:	PC16		Idobooks shared	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	PC16 Feb-21		Idobooks shared	Improve the he Commissioninț Integrated Cor CCG Governan	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor		Idobooks shared	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group		Idobooks shared	Improve the he Commissioninț Integrated Cor CCG Governan	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce	ewing options for furt	
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Idobooks shared	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
provision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pi called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pi alled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pi alled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	ter planning han	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pi alled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner:	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	Inherent Risk S	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Plannet Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter	Inherent Risk S	Objective	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy	ewing options for furt	/ / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pi alled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	PC16 Feb-21 Fab-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor	Inherent Risk S	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training / /
orovision. Standard Operating Pr alled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Management Owner: Description Description	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Painter	Inherent Risk 3	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training
Arevision. Standard Operating Pr alled Restore2mini.	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Fact of Covid and Covid related suspension of Fem Conditions. This may be due to failure	Inherent Risk 3	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training
An and a second a second and a second a secon	PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Add the painter of Covid and Covid related suspension of rerm Conditions. This may be due to failure s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care	Inherent Risk 3	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training
Arevision. Standard Operating Pr alled Restore2mini.	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Planted Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Deater State S	Inherent Risk 3	Objective Score (pre-mitig	Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S	ewing options for furt	ther online training
Aref#: Date Added: Date Added: Date Updated: Ref#: Part Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T o present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es- seprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter charlotte Painter s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
orovision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Vedium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es sperived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter ext of Covid and Covid related suspension of erm Conditions. This may be due to failure s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a pecially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter charlotte Painter s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
orovision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Vedium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es sperived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter charlotte Painter s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
Aref#: Date Added: Date Added: Date Updated: Ref#: Part Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T o present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es- seprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter charlotte Painter s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter charlotte Painter s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complicati	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter act of Covid and Covid related suspension of erm Conditions. This may be due to failure s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a pecially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening ons of diseases such as diabetes.	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complicati	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter act of Covid and Covid related suspension of erm Conditions. This may be due to failure s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a pecially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening ons of diseases such as diabetes.	Inherent Risk 1	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Description Description Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T por present to health care setting to present to health care setting to present to health care setting to prifficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complicati	PC16 PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlo	Inherent Risk 3 Impact 4	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Description Description Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T por present to health care setting to present to health care setting to present to health care setting to prifficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complicati	PC16 PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter rem Conditions. This may be due to failure s; reduction in proactive monitoring and care due to restrictive monitoring and care due to restrictive monitoring and care due to restrictions. Likely to have a becially vulnerable groups including those in , people with LD and people from BAME a "rising tide" of people with worsening ons of diseases such as diabetes.	Inherent Risk 3 Impact 4	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T co present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complication Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appet mpact Likelihood	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter te in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Inherent Risk 3 Impact 4	Objective Score (pre-mitig	with patients an Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hea ations)	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati system Develo mmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pricalled Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Description Description Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appet Instance (the CCG's appet Instance) Description	PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter te in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Inherent Risk 3 Impact 4 Detail	Objective Core (pre-mitig	with patients an	ealth of our pati ealth of our pati g System Develo nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4	ewing options for furt	ther online training
arovision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Description Description Description Description Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appet mpact Likelihood Witigations (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s)	PC16 PC16 PC16 PC16 PC16 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17	Inherent Risk 1 Impact 4 Detail	Objective Objective Likelihood 4	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develor nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Description Description Description Description complexith Long T to present on people with Long T or ofifficult adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become health outcomes and complicati Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appet Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s)	PC16 PC16 PC16 PC16 PC16 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17 Pc17	Inherent Risk 1 Impact 4 Detail	Objective Objective Likelihood 4	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develor nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4	ewing options for furt	ther online training
provision. Standard Operating Pr called Restore2mini. Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Medium to long term health imp usual care on people with Long T to present to health care setting or difficulty in accessing services significant adverse impact on es deprived socio-economic groups backgrounds. This may become	PC16 PC16 PC16 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Jayne Taylor Charlotte Painter Charlotte Painter Feb-21	Inherent Risk 3 Impact A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Objective Objective Likelihood 4	with patients an	d staff. NEL revie ealth of our pati g System Develor nmissioning ce alth Economy Residual Risk S Impact 4	ewing options for furt	ther online training

	erstand how this need can be met	Use collated in	nformation to inf	orm changes to s	ervices		
Action(s) (how are you plann	ing on achieving the proposed mitigations?))					
Detail			(Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
eview of LTC indicators for 2	nd secondary care of indicators for medium/I	long term impact o	of COVID		Feb-21 Feb-21	Ongoing Spring 21	СР
	ng to aid reporting for this area				Feb-21 Feb-21	Spring 21	СР
	e patient feedback on medium to long term in	mpact			Feb-21	Summer 21	CP
<u> </u>							
	greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni					1 1 1 1 1	
	to support planning for medium-long term. D ents also planned to be scheduled for later ir						
	particularly for vulnerable groups. This will also			-			22 in pipeline to
				indiage the site	lation post cov		
ef#:	PC17		Objective	Improve the h	ealth of our pat	ients	/
ate Added:	Feb-21		,		g System Develo		, ,
ate Updated:	Feb-21			Integrated Con	nmissioning		
eview Committee:	Planned Care Core Leadership Group			CCG Governan	ce		
enior Responsible Owner:	Siobhan Harper			Primary Care			/
enior Management Owner:	James Courtney/Fawzia Bahkt]		Productive Hea	alth Economy		
escription		Inherent Rick	Score (pre-mitig	ations)	Residual Risk	Score (post-mitigation	ns)
		Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
npact of COVID on the healt	h of the rough sleepers and asylum seeker						
opulations		5	4	20	4	3	12
sk Tolerance <i>(the CCG's ap</i>	petite in relation to this risk)						
	Target Score	Detail					Total
ipact	3						9
kelihood	3						5
Aitigations (what are you do	ing to address this risk?)						
roposed Mitigation(s)						ons are working?)	
Ongoing accommodation offe			number on stree ting- numbers as			eporting on engaging	rougn sleepers
out of Hospital Discharge Pat						of patients utilising p	athway, reporting of
accination implementation			d and reporting of				<i>//</i> · · · ·
ction(s) (<i>how are you plann</i> etail	ing on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	/			Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
egister all asylum seekers at	a local GP Practice				Feb-21	Complete	
once accommouation in Co	L and LBH to continue to provide scaled up a	ccommodation				Complete	JC
	L and LBH to continue to provide scaled up a I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in				Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Complete	JC
ndertake CHRISP health and					Feb-21		JC JC
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in	n accommodation			Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete	JC JC JC
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda	n accommodation ation			Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar	n accommodation ation re vaccinated			Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda	n accommodation ation re vaccinated			Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	uner)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	ner)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	uner)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I streach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	ner)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I streach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	mer)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I streach clinics provided at r gree model and support to a evelop Out of Hospital Disch	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners	mer)		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I streach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o veelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow		utreach clinics to	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag ugh Sleeper and Health Pa	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommoda ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with It	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se	ervice providing o		Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and treach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (op progress update (op p	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni rtnership Group in place to oversee response	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at	ervice providing o ccommodation. V	Vorking group ha	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach t sups. Plan for a mixed mode in place with all stakeholo	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with II greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio rtnership Group in place to oversee response leing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker o them. Asylum S	Vorking group ha 's have been regis eeker hotel was s	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag ugh Sleeper and Health Pa exers. Proactive outreach t sups. Plan for a mixed mode in place with all stakeholo	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio rtnership Group in place to oversee response leing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker o them. Asylum S	Vorking group ha 's have been regis eeker hotel was s	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach t outps. Plan for a mixed mode in place with all stakeholo	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with II greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio rtnership Group in place to oversee response leing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker o them. Asylum S	Vorking group ha 's have been regis eeker hotel was s	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
Idertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and itreach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to outreach to out	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar arge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni rtnership Group in place to oversee response leing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outre	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker o them. Asylum S	Vorking group ha 's have been regis eeker hotel was s	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
Idertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and itreach clinics provided at r ree model and support to of velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (an ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to outs. Plan for a mixed mod e in place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess	I welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with II greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio rtnership Group in place to oversee response leing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker o them. Asylum S	Vorking group ha 's have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and trreach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (a ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to oups. Plan for a mixed mod e in place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess f#: te Added:		n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha rs have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Seen set up to stered at Hoxtor tood up in July 3 ealth of our pat	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I trreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (a bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to outreach to outreach and support in place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess off#: the Added: the Updated:	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio rtnership Group in place to oversee response being undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outre PC7 Feb-21	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha rs have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Seben set up to stered at Hoxtor tood up in July 3 ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to of evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to oups. Plan for a mixed mod oups. Plan for a mixed mod oviding initial health assess oviding initial health assess oviding initial health assess off:	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in Health Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar harge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio thereship Group in place to oversee response eing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outree PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan	Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Seben set up to stered at Hoxtor tood up in July 3 ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach k oous. Plan for a mixed mod e in place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess fiff: ate Updated: wiew Committee: nior Responsible Owner:	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar targe Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni thereship Group in place to oversee response el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outree PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the h Commissioning Integrated Corr CCG Governan Primary Care	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach k oous. Plan for a mixed mod e in place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess fiff: ate Updated: wiew Committee: nior Responsible Owner:	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar targe Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni thereship Group in place to oversee response el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outree PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. <i>i</i>	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I utreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach b oups. Plan for a mixed mod e in place with all stakeholo	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar targe Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni thereship Group in place to oversee response el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outree PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. / t or respond best to cach clinics and prin	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker them. Asylum S mary care follow-n	Vorking group ha s have been regise eeker hotel was s up. Improve the h Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Hei	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach k ooups. Plan for a mixed mod ein place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess offit: the Added: the Updated: viview Committee: mior Responsible Owner: mior Management Owner: ascription	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar narge Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Senio thership Group in place to oversee response eing undertaken by LAs to ensure rough slee el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outre PC7 PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Rozalia Enti	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se ppers are offered an n outreach model. / to respond best to ach clinics and prin	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker hem. Asylum S mary care follow-t Objective Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations) Total	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21 n housing both rough manage the rollout o /Greenhouse. Regula 2020. DOTW, ELFT and ients ppment	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and I treach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ag bugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach k ooups. Plan for a mixed mod ein place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess offit: the Added: the Updated: viview Committee: mior Responsible Owner: mior Management Owner: ascription	welling being survey for all rough sleepers in tealth Partnership Group meeting ough sleeper and asylum seeker accommode ensure rough sleepers and asylum seekers ar targe Pathway model and bid working with If greed by Senior Management Owner & Seni thereship Group in place to oversee response el of vaccination centres with support and ar lers to discuss asylum seeker needs and how ment and registering patients through outree PC7 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners for Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se pers are offered a n outreach model. / to respond best tc ach clinics and prin	ervice providing o cccommodation. V All asylum S them. Asylum S mary care follow- Objective	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations)	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21 Spring 21 Note: Spring 21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Spring 21 Sp	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/
dertake CHRISP health and veekly Rough Sleeper and I trreach clinics provided at r ree model and support to o velop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (a ugh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to outreach and the statehold outring initial health assess f#: te Added: te Updated: view Committee: nior Responsible Owner: nior Management Owner: scription		n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se ppers are offered an n outreach model. / to respond best to ach clinics and prin	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker hem. Asylum S mary care follow-t Objective Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations) Total	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21 Spring 21 Note: Spring 21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Spring 21 Sp	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/
ndertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and trreach clinics provided at r gree model and support to o evelop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ar progress update (ar progress update) (ar progress update) (ar progress update) (ar progress update) (ar progress update) (ar progress) (ar an initial health assess of the added: the Updated: the Updated: the Committee: mior Responsible Owner: mior Management Owner: ascription CSO- Limited stock availabil		n accommodation ation e vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se epers are offered at n outreach model. / t to respond best tc ach clinics and prin linherent Risk Impact 5	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker hem. Asylum S mary care follow-t Objective Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations) Total	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21 Spring 21 Note: Spring 21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Spring 21 Sp	JC JC JC JC/FB JC/FD/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FB JC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC/FC
Indertake CHRISP health and weekly Rough Sleeper and itreach clinics provided at r ree model and support to of welop Out of Hospital Disch onthly progress update (ar ingh Sleeper and Health Pa ekers. Proactive outreach to outreach and the statehold on place with all stakehold oviding initial health assess f#: tte Added: tte Updated: wiew Committee: nior Responsible Owner: nior Management Owner: scription		n accommodation ation re vaccinated NEL Partners ior Responsible Ow e. ELFT Outreach Se ppers are offered an n outreach model. / to respond best to ach clinics and prin	ervice providing o ccommodation. V All asylum seeker hem. Asylum S mary care follow-t Objective Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	Vorking group ha s have been regis eeker hotel was s up. Improve the he Commissioning Integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive Her ations) Total	Feb-21 Fe	Complete Ongoing Ongoing Feb-21 Spring 21 Spring 21 Note: Spring 21 Spring 21 Ongoing Ongoing Spring 21 Sp	JC JC JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FD JC/FB JC/FDC

Mitigations (<i>what are you doin</i> Proposed Mitigation(s)	ng to address this risk?)	A	Fuidance /hours	uill way know the			
QIPP efficiencies to aid financia	l balance		id, QIPP Project		at your mitigatio	ons are working?)	
Action(c) (how are you plannin	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)						
Detail	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)				Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
	costs and to date have utilised QiPP scheme rition supplementation will help to deliver say				Feb-21	Ongoing	RE
Messages to primary care on ap		vings if general p	ractice remains	engaged over	Feb-21 Feb-21	Ongoing Ongoing	RE
							•
	eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior lace to help ensure stocks continue to be avai			delays. The natio	onal recommend	lation is that medicin	es should be prescribed
	nat medicines should not be stockpiled, the N						
prescribers and patients (through	gh Healthwatch Hackney) during the first way	ve of the COVID-1	19 pandemic – Sp	oring 2020 and ag	gain in Nov/ Dec	of 2020.	
For 2020/21 as of January 2021	L prescribing data is only available for April -O	october 2020 Bas	ed on the 7 mon	ths data the est	imated annual c	ost pressure for NCS	O is £567 214 in addition
101 2020/21, 03 01 Junuary 2021		2020. 203		this data, the est		ost pressure for ries	0 13 1307,214 11 0001101
		-					
Ref#: Date Added:	PC8	-	Objective		ealth of our pat g System Develo		
Date Updated:	Feb-21	-		Integrated Cor			
Review Committee:	Planned Care Core Leadership Group			CCG Governan			
Senior Responsible Owner:	Siobhan Harper	_		Primary Care	oldh E		
Senior Management Owner:	Penny Heron			Productive He	aith Economy		
Description			Score (pre-mitig			Score (post-mitigatio	
There are significant financial n	ressures in the Adult Learning Disability	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
• .	able solution from system partners	5	4	20	5	4	20
Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	tite in relation to this risk)						
hisk tolerance (the cco s uppe	Target Score	Detail					Total
Impact	4						12
Likelihood	3						
Mitigations (what are you doin	ng to address this risk?)						
Proposed Mitigation(s)			Evidence (how w	vill vou know the	at vour mitiaatie	ons are working?	
Ioint Funding						his ure working:	
	~			l agreed- action	reporting		
Transition governance structure	2				reporting		
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin	e g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			l agreed- action	reporting ning- action repo	orting	
Transition governance structure Action(s) <i>(how are you plannin</i> Detail	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	Effective data	capture and clea	I agreed- action r transition plan	reporting ning- action repo Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
Transition governance structure Action(s) (<i>how are you plannin</i> Detail Joint funding work is still under	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t	Effective data	capture and clea	ol agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been	reporting ning- action repo Last updated Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21	РН
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?)	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca	ol agreed- action r transition plans rotocol has been optured around	reporting ning- action reported Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date	РН
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca	ol agreed- action r transition plans rotocol has been optured around	reporting ning- action reported Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or	РН
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use	ol agreed- action r transition plans rotocol has been optured around	reporting ning- action reported Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack	РН
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner)	el agreed- action r transition plane rotocol has been optured around of a dashboard.	reporting ning- action repo Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations)	PH n PH
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner)	el agreed- action r transition plane rotocol has been optured around of a dashboard.	reporting ning- action repo Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations)	PH n PH
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner)	el agreed- action r transition plane rotocol has been optured around of a dashboard.	reporting ning- action repo Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations)	PH n PH
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. expared for the ICB, the budget position has im	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp	reporting ning- action report Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde oppen in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made.	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp	reporting ning- action report Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. expared for the ICB, the budget position has im	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp	reporting ning- action report Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agre Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. expared for the ICB, the budget position has im	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp	reporting ning- action report Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like	ig on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is a	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#:	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is a PC19	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea tify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year Improve the h	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like	ig on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is a	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year Improve the h	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develo	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agra Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is PC19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year Commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than	In agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year Improve the h Commissionin Integrated Con CCG Governan Primary Care	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Devel mmissioning ice	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agra Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee:	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is PC19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group	Effective data of to take place to rater taken to ensure h and Care Plans Responsible Owr ancial year. This is proved by severa	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year Commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Devel mmissioning ice	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients	PH PH PH d 1:1 support).
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner:	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea attify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig	In agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year Commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations)	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning tee alth Economy Residual Risk 3	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase end of year overspen- ients Depment Score (post-mitigation	PH PH PH id 1:1 support). d is >£1million risk
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Flanned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data cc and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year <u>Commissionin</u> integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning tce alth Economy Residual Risk :	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH PH PH id 1:1 support). id is >£1million risk
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea attify the tool, a p accurate data ca and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig	In agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year Commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations)	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning tee alth Economy Residual Risk 3	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase end of year overspen- ients Depment Score (post-mitigation	PH PH PH id 1:1 support). d is >£1million risk
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is PC19 PC19 Pc19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services ette in relation to this risk)	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data cc and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year <u>Commissionin</u> integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning tce alth Economy Residual Risk :	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH PH PH rtd 1:1 support). d is >£1million risk d is >£1million risk / / pns) Total 9
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is PC19 PEeb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services etite in relation to this risk) Target Score	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data cc and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year <u>Commissionin</u> integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning tce alth Economy Residual Risk :	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH PH PH id 1:1 support). id is >£1million risk
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appe	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is PC19 PC19 Pc19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services ette in relation to this risk)	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data cc and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year <u>Commissionin</u> integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning tce alth Economy Residual Risk :	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH PH PH rtd 1:1 support). d is >£1million risk d is >£1million risk / / pns) Total 9
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron con local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea itify the tool, a p accurate data cc and through use ner) s in part as a res al million £s than Objective Score (pre-mitig Likelihood	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year <u>Commissionin</u> integrated Cor CCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning tce alth Economy Residual Risk :	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH P
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doin	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron con local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total 20	reporting ning- action reporting Last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develor mmissioning tee alth Economy Residual Risk : Impact 3	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspend ients Dopment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood 3	PH P
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Integrations (what are you doin Proposed Mitigation(s)	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 PC19 Feb-21 Feb-21 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron con local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 3	Effective data of the second s	capture and clea	I agreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year commissionin Integrated Cor CCCG Governan Primary Care Productive He ations) Total 20	reporting ning- action reporting last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning rcc alth Economy Residual Risk: Impact 3 at your mitigatic	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspen ients comment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood	PH P
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreent Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Lopdated: Review Committee: Senior Responsible Owner: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Integration (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s) Develop and implement alterna	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is of PC19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 ig to address this risk?)	Effective data of o take place to ra ertaken to ensure h and Care Plans. Responsible Owr ancial year. This is nproved by severa certain. Inherent Risk 5 Impact 4 Detail Assurances & Alternate optic	capture and clea	Ingreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year in previous year <u>CCG Governan Primary Care</u> Productive He ations) Total 20	reporting ning- action reporting last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning rcc alth Economy Residual Risk: Impact 3 at your mitigatic	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspend ients Dopment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood 3	PH P
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s) Develop and implement alterna investigate cause of attack and	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is o PC19 PC19 Peb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 bro address this risk? the solutions while issues remain implement solutions to prevent it happening	Effective data of o take place to ra ertaken to ensure h and Care Plans. Responsible Owr ancial year. This is nproved by severa certain. Inherent Risk 5 Impact 4 Detail Assurances & Alternate optic	Cobjective	Ingreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year in previous year <u>CCG Governan Primary Care</u> Productive He ations) Total 20	reporting ning- action reporting last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning rcc alth Economy Residual Risk: Impact 3 at your mitigatic	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspend ients Dopment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood 3	PH P
Transition governance structure Action(s) (how are you plannin Detail Joint funding work is still under A new transition governance st needs and so transition can hap Monthly progress update (agreen Integrated Learning Disability S With the current Pandemic, it's To note - Following a paper pre- remains at 20 (red) and will like Ref#: Date Added: Date Updated: Review Committee: Senior Management Owner: Description Impact of the LBH Cyber Attack Risk Tolerance (the CCG's apper Impact Likelihood Mitigations (what are you doing Proposed Mitigation(s) Develop and implement alterna investigate cause of attack and	g on achieving the proposed mitigations?) r completion. An independent review needs t ructure is in place but work is still being unde open in a planned way as per Education Healt eed by Senior Management Owner & Senior ervice is currently £2milion overspent this fin highly unlikely that savings could be made. epared for the ICB, the budget position has im ly rise to 25 by next time when overspend is of PC19 Feb-21 Planned Care Core Leadership Group Siobhan Harper Penny Heron on local Planned Care Services title in relation to this risk) Target Score 3 to address this risk?) ate solutions while issues remain	Effective data of o take place to ra ertaken to ensure h and Care Plans. Responsible Owr ancial year. This is nproved by severa certain. Inherent Risk 5 Impact 4 Detail Assurances & Alternate optic	Cobjective	Ingreed- action r transition plan rotocol has been ptured around of a dashboard. ult of extra supp in previous year in previous year in previous year <u>CCG Governan Primary Care</u> Productive He ations) Total 20	reporting ning- action reporting last updated Feb-21 Feb-21 ort needs aroun rs; however, as e ealth of our pat g System Develen mmissioning rcc alth Economy Residual Risk: Impact 3 at your mitigatic	Delivery Date Apr-21 TBC (dependent or Cyber attack mitigations) d covid (e.g. increase and of year overspend ients Dopment Score (post-mitigatic Likelihood 3	PH P

Cyber Crime complete investigation	Feb-21	Ongoing	РН
Reporting to senior leadership within council to assess progress	Feb-21	Ongoing	PH
Regular Project Group meetings to manage response	Feb-21	Ongoing	PH

Services that use Hackney Council IT infrastructure have had ongoing issues caused by October's Cyber-Attack. This has impacted a range of services and has caused issues with access to the social care client database. Secure google sheets are being used as a fallback option in the interim. Project Group led by Ilona Sakulakis addressing the issue and Cybercrime are investigating. Regular risk reporting to senior figures within the council is ongoing.

Ref#:	PC21		Objective	Focus to prevention to address health inequalities	
Date Added:	Feb-21			Community care close to home	
Date Updated:	May-21			Maintain system financial balance	/
Review Committee:	Planned Care Core Leadership			Deliver integrated care which meets physical and menta	
	Group/Unplanned Care Board				
Senior Responsible Owner:	Siobhan Harper/Nina Griffith			Empower patients and residents	
Senior Management Owner:	Cindy Fischer]			

Description	Inherent Risk Score (pre-mitigations)			Residual Risk Score (post-mitigations)		
	Impact	Likelihood	Total	Impact	Likelihood	Total
No decision has been made by government about the continuation of discharge to assess funding from April 2021 onwards. Systems should therefore assume that individuals discharged from hospital from 1 April 2021 onwards who require care and support will need to be funded from locally agreed funding arrangements which will have an impact on CCG Continuing Healthcare, and Adult social care budgets. Without a clear process, this could have a detrimental impact on hospital discharge.	4	5	20	4	2	8

Risk Tolerance (the CCG's appetite in relation to this risk)				
·	Target Score	Detail	Total	
Impact				
Likelihood				

Mitigations (what are you doing to address this risk?)

 Proposed Mitigation(s)
 Assurances & Evidence (how will you know that your mitigations are working?)

 Review Services without Prejudice arrangement that was in place with the The Hospital Discharge to Assess processes must continue with any funding
 An agreement is reached on funding arrangements with the local authorities.

 The Hospital Discharge to Assess processes must continue with any funding
 Discharge Sitreps

Action(s) (how are you planning on achieving the proposed mitigations?)			
Detail	Last updated	Delivery Date	Action Owner
The NEL CHC Leads group need to discuss the impact on CHC budgets and whether a singular arrangement can be agreed with	Feb-21	01/04/2021	Siobhan Harper
Ensure D2A processes continue past the end of March regardless of central funding.	Feb-21	01/04/2021	Cindy Fischer

Monthly progress update (agreed by Senior Management Owner & Senior Responsible Owner)

The Government confirmed that there will be central funding to support discharge to assess; this will be up to 6 weeks of care during quarter 1 and up to 4 weeks during quarter 2. This risk is therefore delayed at this time.

Integrated Commissioning Glossary

ACEs	Adverse Childhood Experiences	
ACERS	Adult Cardiorespiratory Enhanced and Responsive Service	
AOG	Accountable Officers Group	A meeting of system leaders from City & Hackney CCG, London Borough of Hackney, City of London Corporation and provider colleagues.
СРА	Care Programme Approach	A package of care for people with mental health problems.
СҮР	Children and Young People's Service	
	City, The	City of London geographical area.
CoLC	City of London Corporation	City of London municipal governing body (formerly Corporation of London).
	City and Hackney System	City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group, London Borough of Hackney, City of London Corporation, Homerton University Hospital NHS FT, East London NHS FT, City & Hackney GP Confederation.
CCG	Clinical Commissioning Group	Clinical Commissioning Groups are groups of GPs that are responsible for buying health and care services. All GP practices are part of a CCG.
	Commissioners	City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group, London Borough of Hackney, City of London Corporation
CHS	Community Health Services	Community health services provide care for people with a wide range of conditions, often delivering health care in people's homes. This care can be multidisciplinary, involving teams of nurses and therapists working together with GPs and social care. Community health services also focus on prevention and health improvement, working in partnership with local government and voluntary and community sector enterprises.
COPD	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	
CS2020	Community Services 2020	The programme of work to deliver a new community services contract from 2020.
DES	Directed Enhanced Services	
DToC	Delayed Transfer of Care	A delayed transfer of care is when a person is ready to be discharged from hospital to a home or care setting, but this must be delayed. This can be







		for a number of reasons, for example, because there is not a bed available in an intermediate care home.
ELHCP	East London Health and Care Partnership	The East London Health & care Partnership brings together the area's eight Councils (Barking, Havering & Redbridge, City of London, Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest), 7 Clinical Commissioning Groups and 12 NHS organisations. While East London as a whole faces some common problems, the local make up of and characteristics of the area vary considerably. Work is therefore shaped around three localized areas, bringing the Councils and NHS organisations within them together as local care partnerships to ensure the people living there get the right services for their specific needs.
FYFV	NHS Five Year Forward View	The NHS Five Year Forward View strategy was published in October 2014 in response to financial challenges, health inequalities and poor quality of care. It sets out a shared vision for the future of the NHS based around more integrated, person centred care.
IAPT	Improving Access to Psychological Therapy	Programme to improve access to mental health, particularly around the treatment of adult anxiety disorders and depression.
IC	Integrated Commissioning	Integrated contracting and commissioning takes place across a system (for example, City & Hackney) and is population based. A population based approach refers to the high, macro, level programmes and interventions across a range of different services and sectors. Key features include: population-level data (to understand need across populations and track health outcomes) and population-based budgets (either real or virtual) to align financial incentives with improving population health.
ICB	Integrated Commissioning Board	The Integrated Care Board has delegated decision making for the pooled budget. Each local authority agrees an annual budget and delegation scheme for its respective ICB (Hackney ICB and City ICB). Each ICB makes recommendations to its respective local authority on aligned fund services. Each ICB will receive financial reports from its local authority. The ICB's meet in common to ensure alignment.







ICS	Integrated Care System	An Integrated Care System is the name now given to Accountable Care Systems (ACSs). It is an 'evolved' version of a Sustainability and Transformation Partnership that is working as a locally integrated health system. They are systems in which NHS organisations (both commissioners and providers), often in partnership with local authorities, choose to take on clear collective responsibility for resources and population health. They provide joined up, better coordinated care. In
		return they get far more control and freedom over the total operations of the health system in their area; and work closely with local government and other partners.
IPC	Integrated Personal	
	Commissioning	
ISAP	Integrated Support and Assurance Process	The ISAP refers to a set of activities that begin when a CCG or a commissioning function of NHS England (collectively referred to as commissioners) starts to develop a strategy involving the procurement of a complex contract. It also covers the subsequent contract award and mobilisation of services under the contract. The intention is that NHS England and NHS Improvement provide a 'system view' of the proposals, focusing on what is required to support the successful delivery of complex contracts. Applying the ISAP will help mitigate but not eliminate the risk that is inevitable if a complex contract is to be utilised. It is not about creating barriers to implementation.
LAC	Looked After Children	Term used to refer to a child that has been in the care of a local authority for more than 24 hours.
LARC	Long Acting Reversible Contraception	
LBH	London Borough of Hackney	Local authority for the Hackney region
LD	Learning Difficulties	
LTC	Long Term Condition	
MDT	Multidisciplinary team	Multidisciplinary teams bring together staff from different professional backgrounds (e.g. social worker, community nurse, occupational therapist, GP and any specialist staff) to support the needs of a person who requires more than one type of support or service. Multidisciplinary teams are often discussed in the same context as joint working, interagency work and partnership working.







MECC	Making Every Contact Count	A programme across City & Hackney to improve peoples' experience of the service by ensuring all contacts with staff are geared towards their needs.
MI	Myocardial Infarction Neighbourhood Programme (across City and Hackney)	Technical name for a heart attack. The neighbourhood model will build localised integrated care services across a population of 30,000-50,000 residents. This will include focusing on prevention, as well as the wider social and economic determinants of health. The neighbourhood model will organise City and Hackney health and care services around the patient.
NEL	North East London (NEL) Commissioning Alliance	This is the commissioning arm of the East London Health and Care Partnership comprising 7 clinical commissioning groups in North East London. The 7 CCGs are City and Hackney, Havering, Redbridge, Waltham Forest, Barking and Dagenham, Newham and Tower Hamlets.
NHSE	NHS England	Executive body of the Department of Health and Social Care. Responsible for the budget, planning, delivery and operational sides of NHS Commissioning.
NHSI	NHS Improvement	Oversight body responsible for quality and safety standards.
	Primary Care	Primary care services are the first step to ensure that people are seen by the professional best suited to deliver the right care and in the most appropriate setting. Primary care includes general practice, community pharmacy, dental, and optometry (eye health) services.
PD	Personality Disorder	
PIN	Prior Information Notice	A method for providing the market place with early notification of intent to award a contract/framework and can lead to early supplier discussions which may help inform the development of the specification.
QIPP	Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention	QIPP is a programme designed to deliver savings within the NHS, predominately through driving up efficiency while also improving the quality of care.
QOF	Quality Outcomes Framework	
	Risk Sharing	Risk sharing is a management method of sharing risks and rewards between health and social care organisations by distributing gains and losses on an agreed basis. Financial gains are calculated as the difference between the expected cost of







		delivering equation defined service (service) (by
		delivering care to a defined population and the actual cost.
	Secondary care	Secondary care services are usually based in a hospital or clinic and are a referral from primary care. rather than the community. Sometimes 'secondary care' is used to mean 'hospital care'.
	Step Down	Step down services are the provision of health and social care outside the acute (hospital) care setting for people who need an intensive period of care or further support to make them well enough to return home.
SOCG	System Operational Command Group	An operational meeting consisting of system leaders from across the City & Hackney health, social care and voluntary sector. Chaired by the Chief Executive of the Homerton Hospital. Set up to deal with the immediate crisis response to the Covid-19 pandemic.
SMI	Severe Mental Illness	
STP	Sustainability and Transformation Partnership	Sustainability and transformation plans were announced in NHS planning guidance published in December 2015. Forty-four areas have been identified as the geographical 'footprints' on which the plans are based, with an average population size of 1.2 million people (the smallest covers a population of 300,000 and the largest 2.8 million). A named individual has led the development of each Sustainability and Transformation Partnership. Most Sustainability and Transformation Partnership leaders come from clinical commissioning groups and NHS trusts or foundation trusts, but a small number come from local government. Each partnership developed a 'place-based plans' for the future of health and care services in their area. Draft plans were produced by June 2016 and 'final' plans were submitted in October 2016.
	Tertiary care	Care for people needing specialist treatments. People may be referred for tertiary care (for example, a specialist stroke unit) from either primary care or secondary care.
	Vanguard	A vanguard is the term for an innovative programme of care based on one of the new care models described in the NHS Five Year Forward View. There are five types of vanguard, and each address a different way of joining up or providing more coordinated services for people. Fifty







		vanguard sites were established and allocated funding to improve care for people in their areas.
VCSE	Voluntary Community and Social Enterprise	







City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group